COPENHAGEN – ccNSO Council Meeting
Wednesday, March 15, 2017 – 17:00 to 18:30 CET
ICANN58 | Copenhagen, Denmark

KATRINA SATAKI: Dear Councilors, dear audience, we are still waiting for our new versions of today's agenda to arrive. So a few more minutes, sorry.

Okay. Hello, everyone, again. Welcome to the face-to-face meeting of the ccNSO Council. Have we received any apologies?

UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: Abibu and Christelle are joining us remotely.

KATRINA SATAKI: Thank you. And before we start we have an announcement from Young-eum. Young-eum, could you please share?

YOUNG-EUM LEE: Yes, this is a request from Marilyn Cade who is working on a picture book for Glen de Saint Gery who has been in the community and who will be retiring. So she is asking people, if you would like to leave a statement regarding where in the world and when did you meet Glen. You are asked to pull out a

blank sheet of paper and write your answer down and leave it with the ICANN booth at the end of the Council meeting. Thank you.

KATRINA SATAKI: Thank you very much. As agreed, we have combined several agenda items to ensure that – we have something like consent agenda, and we do not vote for each item. As you can see minutes in actions; minutes are approved, published. Action points are completed. We haven't had any intermeeting council decisions. Under Agenda Item #4, the proposal is that we would create a drafting team that would look into rationale whether it's feasible or not for us to request increase and the number of ccNSO traveling slots. This drafting team would then present their findings and their proposal to the Council to evaluate them and come up with the decision.

And here, I would like to designate Council Nigel Roberts as the lead of the drafting team. And then we will ask the Secretariat to issue a call for volunteers to this drafting team.

Then, again as I was explained during the Council prep meeting, according to the new Bylaws, we need to review the charter of the Customer Standing Committee. And that has to be done jointly by ccNSO and RISG. And then their findings, their amendments to the charter should the group find that there's a

need for such amendments then these amendments will have to be adopted by the ccNSO and GNSO Councils.

Here, in your materials, you can see the proposed timeline of this work. The idea is that if we decide to update the charter, then it should be adopted by ICANN61. During our next Council meeting, we will discuss it in more details.

Again, we also discussed during the Council prep meeting and now I can inform you that we have received the information from ICANN. Actually, they asked us to confirm whether we want to proceed with the ccNSO review as scheduled or we can ask to defer this review due to the workload and many reviews already going on. And here, we proposed that decision is that – yes, we will inform the Chair of the ICANN Board Organizational Effectiveness Committee and ask to defer the start of the ccNSO organizational review by one year or 12 months.

Then we also received updated charter from the Cross-Community Working Group on Internet Governance. Unfortunately, they sent it a little bit late, just a few days before the start of the meeting. And this is something that – well we heard an update and we will move the adoption of this charter to the next Council meeting, so that we all have enough time to read the document properly.

Then, another item that we discussed already, it's about the FOI Implementation Advisory Team. As you remember, we had two members – two experts on this team. Those are Becky and Keith. But Becky, when she took her seat on the ICANN Board, she stepped down from this team and we appointed Stephen as one of the members. Luckily, the second member of the team was here at present and in Copenhagen and after discussion with Keith, he confirmed that he is willing to stay on this team until the very end of this saga – or what term should I use here? And he's committed to this work. The membership of the Advisory Team will be revisited if one of the current members decides to step down for any reason.

Then, we also received the annual work plan. It's been updated and will be presented to the Council at the next meeting. Especially it is necessary taking into account that there are some things that we still have to decide on.

And then, we will have some roles and responsibilities to discuss. As you know, we have several committees like Triage Committee, Travel Funding Committee.

We have people on filling in these roles. For some of the committees, we have limited number of years that a Councilor conserved on these committees. So it has to be revisited in their roles and responsibilities reviewed during our next Council call.

So this is the consent agenda and administrative topics. I think we should – anybody wants to move? Nigel, seconded by Stephen. May I ask is there anyone wants to say something? Yes, please.

BYRON HOLLAND: Just a comment on Agenda Item #4 which is on travel funding. As the Chair of the committee lost in the midst of time, but the Finance Working Group, when we came to a conclusion about the financial contributions that this community would make to ICANN – certainly, one of the key elements in that debate and for those who remember, it was certainly a debate – one of the things that we highlighted to ICANN as one of the numerous reasons why we shouldn't be bound for particularly on our financial contributions was the fact that we don't generally get much travel funding. And that was certainly one of the planks of the arguments that we made for the fees that we committed to them.

So I would certainly be remised if I didn't remind us all of the kind of commitments that we made there. I know this is only a drafting exercise at this point and I certainly can support that. But I think we should make sure that we include fulsome discussion about what was committed to in the Finance Working Group from a distant past.

KATRINA SATAKI: Thank you very much, Byron. Yes, please Debbie.

DEBBIE MONAHAN: I agree with Byron. I have some concerns as well. I think as much as the [inaudible] stirring it, I would like on anything published that the sentence based on the initial analysis the Council considers that such a request as warranted. Be it should taken out because I don't think at this point that anything has been established is being warranted. This exercise may or may not show that but I would like that statement taken out of anything.

KATRINA SATAKI: Yes, thank you. I think in the minutes, we'll see only what was said during the meeting. Yeah, so definitely we do not have a warrant yet.

DEBBIE MONAHAN: Sorry, it's just my understanding was that these papers also published then.

KATRINA SATAKI: Okay, then we will just turn remove this part, yeah. Thank you. That's the idea is that the drafting team will – supposed to come up with rationale and of course taken into account – well just

look into the issue of financial contributions of the ccNSO to ICANN and outcomes of the Financial Working Group.

Anything else? Anybody wants to say? No. If not – so may I ask, who is in favor of this consent agenda items?

BART BOSWINKEL: Everybody's in agreement. Christelle, are you online? She's okay? And Christelle is okay as well, so adopted unanimously.

KATRINA SATAKI: Thank you very much. Then let's move to substantive topics. I believe there's one substantive topic and it's about the initiation of the PDP. Here, we also have received the materials in our e-mail boxes. And we also heard the discussions during ccNSO meeting days when we had temperature in the room. Yeah, may I ask Nigel to give a brief summary and all the raised – everything that we have to decide on.

NIGEL ROBERTS: Well, I'm happy to say, very brief because we've all, I think most of us have set through this three times including quite a detailed discussion within the Members Day. I'm very pleased to say that we've got pretty much a green light for everything that is proposed. So I'm not going to rehearse. I'm not going to go

through it in detail. Just to make one comment that there was a discussion around the order in which we do this, whether to do retirement first or the review panel. And that was split pretty evenly down the middle.

After some discussions between the issue manager and several of the members and myself, we've come up with a proposal that we do the easy win first which is the retirement. So that's the proposal that's before Council may recommend it to you.

KATRINA SATAKI: Thank you. So to summarize everything what we have here. Three pager with a more detailed analysis but a short summary I think would be first, we do one PDP.

Second one, we do delegation first and then we do review mechanism. Okay, retirement first and then we do review mechanism.

We also have timelines presented again in our mailbox. And it shows initial consideration showed that this approach help us to save three month of our time. Personally, I think we will not save any time. We'll just spend two more years on working on this policy.

But again as I mentioned, initial calculations showed that this approach will help us to save time. In any case, if we do one PDP

ICANN 58
COMMUNITY FORUM
COPENHAGEN
11–16 March 2017

then we won't finish this review mechanism before we take on retirement. So in front of you, there are two alternatives for draft decisions. They are in front of us as well.

BART BOSWINKEL: Shall I take you through it?

KATRINA SATAKI: Yeah, you can do that, yeah. Thank you. Our issue manager will speak and enlighten us.

BART BOSWINKEL: Let me take you through the resolution because there is – before you start discussing it. So the first one is – and this is all just in line with Annex B of the ICANN Bylaws. So the first one is that you will initiate the PDP – I think that's a no brainer. The alternative one is that the review mechanism goes first and b) is that we start with the retirement. As Nigel already indicated, the preference of the Council looks like is going for alternative B, so retirement first then review mechanism.

C is to appoint a two working groups and then adopt the charter as included in the issues report. And then the working method associated with it and the time schedule need to be updated because of this – they change in the retirement will go first. So it

has an impact on the issues report, but when it's published but that's your prerogative.

The second part of the resolution, so the second decision is that at the conclusion of this meeting, the Secretariat will send out a call for volunteers to participate in the Retirement Working Group. So the retirement in the working group that will kick off as said. Then the Chair of the ccNSO after this meeting will has to inform the Chair of the GAC and ask advice. And they'll provide an opinion. Again, this is a requirement under Annex B.

This is a new one, this came up and this is again based on yesterday's discussion is that the Chair of the ccNSO to recommend to the ICANN Board, that pending the policy development process on the retirement of ccTLDs. No decisions with respect to retirement of ccTLDs are taken until the conclusion of this and implementation of the retirement policy.

So that's a new one and then the final one this is just the usual one that the Secretariat will publish it. So #4 is a new aspect as well following yesterday's discussion. And there is some reasoning in the background material. It's the final paragraph. I thought it would be usual to explain the whole resolution.

KATRINA SATAKI:	Thank you very much. May I ask for a mover? Stephen and seconded by Alejandra. Thank you. So are there any comments, thoughts, somebody would like to start the discussion? Okay. Yes, Nigel please.
NIGEL ROBERTS:	I was just going to say I think we'll discuss out on this one and I think we'll know where we're going.
KATRINA SATAKI:	Okay. So we have five items here and three – Peter please.
PETER VERGOTE:	Thank you, Katrina. I just have one question for clarification. With regards to proposed Resolution #4, do we have any indication how the ICANN Board may react to [upon it]. Because we all anticipate that this first part of the PDP, the one connected to its retirement is going to be speedy process. We do not anticipate this work to go on for years and years.
	But there is at least one theoretical possibility that if the ICANN Board accepts the outcome of this resolution that they put on hold, a potential retirement issue for years to come. So has this been discussed upfront with the ICANN Board?

KATRINA SATAKI: Thank you very much. What a coincidence? We have a Board member here with us. May we direct this question to the Board member?

CHRIS DISSPAIN: So I heard what you said but I'm not quite what the question is going to do retirement first. What's the question?

KATRINA SATAKI: No. Well, it is recommended that we inform ICANN Board, recommend the ICANN Board of Directors to defer any decisions pertaining to the retirement of a ccTLD until such a time the policy for the retirement of the ccTLDs had been developed following the ccNSO PDP3 and adopted and implemented by ICANN.

CHRIS DISSPAIN: Right, and what was the concern?

KATRINA SATAKI: So this is the recommendation from ccNSO and the question was how would Board react if we sent such a recommendation?

CHRIS DISSPAIN: I think that's fine. You can't guarantee it because there might be emergencies or I can't think why there need to be an emergency retirement, but Brexit maybe. But no, I don't think that's a problem. Anything I can see that is challenge – well, okay. If I was looking for a problem with that, my response would be that's fine. But given that you're doing this is one PDP, you could have solved the problems sometime ago but still be running a PDP on the second issue. So they'll have to wait for you to complete that, I would right?

KATRINA SATAKI: Nigel has a comment?

NIGEL ROBERTS: Chris correctly anticipate something that I had considered. We'll just have to do it fairly quickly. But to answer Peter's question is how do we anticipate the Board might react. I think we'll get a letter back saying, "Thank you for your input which is helpful."

KATRINA SATAKI: Okay. Thank you. And I'm sure that this PDP's going to take long time but they probably might finish before the Brexit.

So basically what we have, we have two alternatives for point B. And so again, first alternative is that we start with review

mechanism. Then we work on retirement and then if needed revisit the review mechanism. And alternative B is that first, we focus on retirement and then just move to review mechanism. And it is – yeah, please, Nigel.

NIGEL ROBERTS: Yeah, just with a bit of procedural assistance here. They say the recommendation that's before Council is that we do the PDP as we set out here and do retirement first. So the easy way to do this will be to hold a vote on this and if you want to do it the other way around, vote against.

KATRINA SATAKI: Okay, that's a fair proposal. Debbie.

DEBBIE MONAHAN: Sorry. To me, I mean you might be in support of PDP but option one exceeds option two. Surely, what we should propose together is which one first passes, whether it's option one or option two.

NIGEL ROBERTS: Actually, that way is exactly the same. It's just a different order.

KATRINA SATAKI: Sorry, could you –

DEBBIE MONAHAN: I'm not sure what Nigel was saying. I mean to me, I don't want to vote against the PDP.

KATRINA SATAKI: No.

NIGEL ROBERTS: Debbie, that's exactly what I'm trying to do here. After some discussions, it seems that unbalance the sense of the room followed by discussions with certain members afterwards was that the… It's pretty evenly balanced. So it doesn't really matter which way we do it. But the most appropriate way would be retirement first. So what we're suggesting to Council is the proposal we do the thing that's written down here – retirement first. And if you like that, you vote for it. If you'd rather do it the other way around, vote against it. And I'll vote the other way around.

KATRINA SATAKI: Thank you. So we start with the vote. Vote on the draft decision with alternative two under item B. So if you vote for in favor of

alternative two, you vote in favor. If you vote against, you vote for alternative one. Is that clear? No, it's not.

BART BOSWINKEL: May I make another suggestion? It is that we just take say first the resolution one – that's why we do it this [one]. Then go on #A first and then go on a little bit on discussion or not around item #B which is alternative as a support of the Council. So the first decision is whether or not to initiate the PDP. That's the general decision for Council and then we just take the whole list, that's why I went through the whole list step by step.

KATRINA SATAKI: Okay. So we want to vote per item. Okay. Let's vote per item. First item, ccNSO Council decides that. A, we go with one PDP. Who is in favor?

BART BOSWINKEL: All in favor on the table and Christelle. She's okay, so again adopted unanimously.

KATRINA SATAKI: Good. B, alternative one. Who is in favor?

BART BOSWINKEL: Debbie.

KATRINA SATAKI: One.

BART BOSWINKEL: Christelle?

KATRINA SATAKI: So I assume that others abstain. No, who is against alternative one?

BART BOSWINKEL: Twelve? Ching Chaio, you abstain or are you against?

CHING CHAIO: Yes, I'd like to abstain as a NonCom Council. Thank you.

BART BOSWINKEL: Okay, so 11 and Christelle is –

KATRINA SATAKI: Who abstains?

BART BOSWINKEL: Ching Chaio.

KATRINA SATAKI: Ching. We have one abstain.

BART BOSWINKEL: So one in favor, 11 against and one abstain. And she is in favor of one. And Christelle is in favor of number one as well.

KATRINA SATAKI: Okay, good.

BART BOSWINKEL: So two in favor, yeah.

KATRINA SATAKI: Who is in favor of alternative two then?

BART BOSWINKEL: Fifteen.

KATRINA SATAKI: Thank you. Who is against?

BART BOSWINKEL: Debbie is against.

KATRINA SATAKI: One. Who abstains?

BART BOSWINKEL: And Christelle is –

KATRINA SATAKI: Ching is not abstaining. You're not abstaining from this one? Okay.

BART BOSWINKEL: She abstains from number two. Christelle.

KATRINA SATAKI: Finally some disagreement on the Council.

BART BOSWINKEL: That's why you have a vote.

KATRINA SATAKI: It's really –

BART BOSWINKEL: So are they recorded? So with this, I assumed that you consider alternative B adopted?

KATRINA SATAKI: Yes. No, alternative B2, yeah.

BART BOSWINKEL: Alternative B2, yeah. Sorry.

KATRINA SATAKI: C, appoint two working groups each with its own charter working method and schedule. Anyone against? Anyone abstaining? Unanimously again. Okay. Thank you. Do we really have to go through all these? Okay. Abibu?

ABIBU NTAHIGIYE: Beginning. We approved the PDP I would say at the beginning.

KATRINA SATAKI: No, no. We did not approve 2, 3, 4, 5.

ABIBU NTAHIGIYE: Then tell [inaudible].

KATRINA SATAKI:	Yeah, so proposal is to vote for 2, 3, 4, 5 all at once. Okay. Who is against?
BART BOSWINKEL:	Against 2, 3, 4, 5 –
KATRINA SATAKI:	Yes.
BART BOSWINKEL:	Yeah, okay. Yeah.
KATRINA SATAKI:	Abstaining? In favor?
BART BOSWINKEL:	All in favor and she is… Christelle is not in the room.
KATRINA SATAKI:	Okay. We've lost Christelle.
BART BOSWINKEL:	So all in favor [inaudible].

KATRINA SATAKI: Okay. Thank you. So with that… Yeah. God help us with that one. So with that, let me announce the PDP 3 initiated.

And let's move to the next agenda item, it's a Council update. First one is Chair update. I think I'm more or less already… Yes?

BART BOSWINKEL: Just for the record, Christelle is back. I don't know if you want to ask her again the last questions around the 2, 3, and 4 and 5?

KATRINA SATAKI: You could probably do that offline and then record her response. I think, I more or less already updated you in all the meetings and all the discussions we've had. I don't think I have anything else to add. Any updates from Vice Chairs? Byron? Demi?

BYRON HOLLAND: I would only add to just as the Chair of the CSC, the CSC met with both the GAC and the Board in CSC – Board CSC GAC presentations and they went seemingly well.

KATRINA SATAKI: Demi, any updates from you? No. Thank you.

Any Councilor you would like to update us on something? No.

Regional organizations, we've heard a lot today I think very interesting updates from regional organizations apparently. Nothing new has happened since then.

Secretariat, any updates from Secretariat? No.

Written updates from our liaisons will follow.

Again, during our prep meeting we shared this information with the Council that we were approached by GAC's Underserved Regions Working Group. And they are dealing with – try to mitigate all of the cases when government start asking questions about their ccTLDs basically complaining that their ccTLDs are non-responsive and so on. This working group has decided to come up with list of frequently asked questions. And those FAQ basically have answered all the questions, those GACs usually have and explain all the terminology that is used in the ICANN world and explain in which cases ICANN can help, in which cases ICANN does not interfere, and what reasonable government should do in this case.

And we have a resolution here. You see it in front of you. May I ask for a mover? Nigel, Peter seconded. So anyone would like to comment or ask something or propose? Yes, Nigel.

NIGEL ROBERTS: Just a quick comment which I have to repeat something that I said in the GAC meeting. I think this is very welcome that they are coming to talk to us at this early stage rather than writing something that we might find inappropriate or difficult later.

KATRINA SATAKI: Yes, that's correct. That's really very welcome and I think we should take this seriously and provide all the help we could possibly provide. Any other question? Yeah, Alejandra.

ALEJANDRA REYNOSO: Just a quick observation of a typo in the resolution. It says "recovation of ccTLDs" instead of "revocation" I guess?

KATRINA SATAKI: We will review all the wording and correct all mistakes, yeah, during… when we work on the minutes.

BART BOSWINKEL: Working it now. Thank you.

KATRINA SATAKI: Thank you. With that I would ask, who is in favor of this resolution?

BART BOSWINKEL: Who are in favor? Christelle? Christelle is not responding.

KATRINA SATAKI: Okay. Thank you.

BART BOSWINKEL: She is just [muted].

KATRINA SATAKI: Any other business?

BART BOSWINKEL: Is in favor as well.

KATRINA SATAKI: Any other business? Anyone would like to raise anything something that has happened during this busy hectic ICANN week? No. Then we'll move to the most exciting part or maybe second exciting part after the PDP. So that's the elections of ccNSO Chairs and Vice Chairs. We start with the election of ccNSO Chair. And with that, I would like to pass the chairmanship of this meeting to Byron.

BYRON HOLLAND: Okay. Thank you very much. So we all know what's the task at hand is. Do we have somebody who is willing to nominate a Chair? Debbie?

DEBBIE MONAHAN: I would like to nominate Katrina Sataki.

BYRON HOLLAND: Duly noted. Seconder? Nigel. Thank you. Can we take a vote on that? Yes. In my excitement to get to the second – third most important part, the adjournment, sorry. I'm just kidding. First off, do you accept that nomination?

KATRINA SATAKI: Yes, I do.

BYRON HOLLAND: The suspense. Are there any other potential candidates? Any other nominations? Seeing or hearing, none, I think we can acclaim this by the usual motion. And with that, I will pass the meeting back to Madame Chair.

KATRINA SATAKI: Thank you very much, Byron. We move to the third most exciting part of the meeting and that's election of our Vice Chairs. Well, I

think it's already a tradition that we have two Vice Chairs. And I think unless there are other proposals, I think we could stick to this tradition. So may I ask for nominations?

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: I'd like to propose Stephen Deerhake.

KATRINA SATAKI: Okay. Thank you. Any secondments? Ching Chaio. That's for seconder. Okay. Any other? Debbie.

DEBBIE MONAHAN: Are you doing both at the same time all the nominations – yeah. I'd like to nominate Demi Getschko and Byron Holland.

KATRINA SATAKI: Thank you. That's tense. Any other nominations? Yes, sorry seconders? Any seconder for – Alejandra? Both? Second both, yes. Alejandra seconds both. Thank you.

Now we have – well, as I said we have two Vice Chairs but since it's – well, we don't have first Vice Chairs, second Vice Chair. But since normally, again that's the tradition, we do not have two people in leadership position from the same region. So I propose that we take vote. Yes please.

BART BOSWINKEL: Maybe may I suggest that you first ask whether the candidates accept the [candidacy]?

KATRINA SATAKI: Okay, true. Yes. Thank you. Now I just followed fine example set by Byron. So may I ask – let's start from that side from my left. Demi, do you accept the nomination?

DEMI GETSCHKO: Yes, I accept.

KATRINA SATAKI: Yeah. Thank you. Byron?

BYRON HOLLAND: Yes.

KATRINA SATAKI: Thank you. Stephen?

STEPHEN DEERHAKE: Yes.

KATRINA SATAKI: Thank you. There's still tension.

NIGEL ROBERTS: Do you say this is tension? You're looking at me [inaudible] a lot.

KATRINA SATAKI: No, no that's fine. We're having fun finally, yes. So as I said, has all the opportunity to become the first most exciting item. So again, I will propose to vote for Vice Chairs and two slots so to say. So one slot is Demi and then second slot will Vice Chair two – not. So that we vote for – is that a no or proposal? And then vote for Byron against Stephen or Stephen against Byron. Any objections to that? Somebody would like to propose another procedure?

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Do [we answer with that]?

KATRINA SATAKI: Nope. That's a gap apparently.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: [Inaudible].

KATRINA SATAKI: The committee well definitely address this controversial – yes. Young-eum please.

YOUNG-EUM LEE: This is exciting.

KATRINA SATAKI: I thought so.

YOUNG-EUM LEE: And usually we vote by acclamation but since this is… This could get personal. Is there any way of an anonymous vote?

KATRINA SATAKI: Is that second? Yes. Thank you, Young-eum. That's a good suggestion. Nigel?

NIGEL ROBERTS: Just in response to what Young-eum said. As we have three candidates for two positions, it's in my culture at least it's fairly traditional that voting is by ballot – by secret ballot rather than – because you're dealing with – I think you put it right. It's a personal thing. So I would agree with what she said.

KATRINA SATAKI:	So we vote by secret ballot and proposal is… So we vote for all three by secret ballot or just for those the competing two?
YOUNG-EUM LEE:	Because of the usual sort of our usual practice of having one from each region, I would say that we would have to conduct the vote on the one region.
KATRINA SATAKI:	Thank you very much. Bart?
BART BOSWINKEL:	That was my suggestion as well if you recall from previous rounds, is that in principle the Vice Chairs and the Chair will be from different regions. So you got Byron and Stephen from the North American region and Demi is from the Latin-Caribbean region. So I think if you would go to a vote, it's between Stephen and Byron and then Demi, by… Yeah.
KATRINA SATAKI:	Thank you very much. So let's start by asking the Secretariat to prepare secret ballots for Byron and Stephen, two names on those ballots. And while we're doing that, we have a second candidate or I mean, yeah another candidate. Well okay, you understand what I mean.

Who supports Demi as the Vice Chair please raise your hand. No drama. I think it's unanimous vote. Yeah, okay. Yes. Who is against or abstains? Okay, three abstentions. Thank you.

UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: Christelle supports.

KATRINA SATAKI: Yeah, Christelle is in favor. Thank you. And while we're waiting for the secret ballots, I will tell you more about our next meetings. We will call, have – sorry, those will not be particularly beautiful ballots as I can see but they will be a secret nevertheless. Okay. Probably we need some ICANN app for that. Yes, yes. But they are not enough of them. We just – okay.

So next meeting. First, next meeting we have well it's a call on the third of April at 6:00 p.m. UTC on 18th of May at 12:00 UTC. Yeah and then we'll see apparently we will meet – the third meeting will be in June face-to-face in Johannesburg.

Pens? I think we have those we have. We need wide sheets of paper. Actually, we could use this lovely… Useless now. Yes. Okay. I will not move to the next agenda item before we finalize this one.

NIGEL ROBERTS: Just for the entertainment while we were waiting. On the assumption that the two candidates would vote for themselves, do they need a ballot paper?

KATRINA SATAKI: Yes. We're –

BART BOSWINKEL: So the suggestion is you fill in a name on this [inaudible] if you can –

KATRINA SATAKI: Okay. True. Yes

BART BOSWINKEL: And give it to me, that's [inaudible].

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Can we make it simpler? That's S stands for Stephen and B for Byron. Can we make it –

KATRINA SATAKI: Sometimes they are confusingly similar. And knowing our experience with confusing similarity, I would propose that we write full name and in capital letters.

BART BOSWINKEL: The only thing is this way –

KATRINA SATAKI: It will not be anonymous.

BART BOSWINKEL: Then I got a bag.

KATRINA SATAKI: And this is the community that runs the Internet.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: With bits of paper.

KATRINA SATAKI: With bits of paper, yes.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Good to have observers because you can read.

KATRINA SATAKI: Regional organizations may observe. That's what you do basically on the Council, yeah.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: That's what I mean. I'm just standing right here, you know so you can read the election. That's for sure.

KATRINA SATAKI: At least everybody voted and that's a great improvement. Therefore, please again I will remind you when we have a vote between our calls, so please vote. You may abstain, you may be against but at least cast your vote, so that we do not have to chase you and repeatedly ask to do that.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Yeah, both in the US and the UK, they have this expression probably in Canada too. Vote early, vote after.

KATRINA SATAKI: Take your time. Don't tell me you want to put your name from… We have another vote. No, no it's –

BART BOSWINKEL: And the final one. We received 17 votes. Do you want me to start with the winner? That's fairly – it's not simple but Byron received 12 votes and Stephen received 5 votes. So therefore Byron is proposed as the second Vice Chair.

KATRINA STAKI:	Okay. Thank you very much, so a round of applause. And thank you, Stephen, for being so brave and strong. I have to tell you that Byron – okay, now I won't tell you that, no. Okay. I am happy to see that we have a great team yet again. And there is nothing impossible for us.

So before we conclude the meeting, I'd like to thank our local hosts DK Hostmaster for being so welcoming and wonderful. I'd like to thank [Norid] for throwing this wonderful ccNSO cocktail. And once again, wish them happy 30th anniversary. I would like to thank every ccNSO member present here in the room or remotely. And I'd like to thank all the Councilors who are here for your active participation and your commitments. Of course, we thank them almost every day for all the work they do, all the support they provide. So thank you very much to the Secretariat.

So with that, let me announce that ccNSO Council face-to-face meeting is closed.

[END OF TRANSCRIPTION]