ICANN

Transcription ICANN Johannesburg

NCSG Outreach & Strategy Meeting

Monday, 26 June 2017 at 8:00 SAST

Note: Although the transcription is largely accurate, in some cases it is incomplete or inaccurate due to inaudible passages or transcription errors. It is posted as an aid to understanding the proceedings at the meeting, but should not be treated as an authoritative record.

The recordings and transcriptions of the calls are posted on the GNSO Master Calendar page http://gnso.icann.org/en/group-activities/calendar

Rafik Dammak: Okay so again good morning and welcome to this NCSG outreach session. So we are trying today to have around 30 minutes just to prepare for the day, maybe to go through the schedule to see what are the most important sessions, and also give kind of some insights what's happening. And I mean as you know today is the first official first day of the ICANN meeting or the policy forum. And for us as involvement or as a part of the GNSO we have the main session for the GNSO today.

So the GNSO we have a working session I think starting from 9:00 till 3:00 pm which will include several updates from different working groups and also meeting with the board and so on. So I would advise for all of you to attend

that session so you can get a snapshot of several discussion and topics from the different working group. And I see that the chair of the NCSG is here but I forget maybe to introduce myself first. So my name is Rafik Dammak and from NCSG the Chair of the Policy Committee but also a Councilor for NCSG and GNSO. So Tapani you want to say a few words?

Tapani Tarvainen: Thank you Rafik. My apologies for being late. And I as you know I presume this is the Policy Forum. And as Rafik just told he's our chair of our Policy Committee. So I presume he has briefed you up on this stuff that's coming up on the policy front.

Rafik Dammak: Thanks Tapani. So I said we have the GNSO working session. But also the schedule is designed in the way that in the afternoon we have cross community meetings it - which is - which are not in conflict with any other session. So I - so for those in the Adobe Connect I will chair the agenda for the GNSO working sessions so we can go through it.

And so it will start around 9:00 am. And first they say meeting will be about the new gTLD subsequent procedures. For those from NCSG you recall that last week we had a policy Webinar to go through what's happening in that working group but also that a few weeks ago we responded to the community input which was quite a long questionnaire about several area in the new gTLD program since that working group is reviewing the previous program and see what was - oh I (unintelligible) not say what were the (sexes) but also the (sailor) on that program. So I think at this stage they are doing more and it's - we can get a lot of update and it's still room to join and get involved on that working group.

After that there will be the key signing key rollover. And I think this is in relation to DNSX. So they are I think updating the keys. I'm not aware about all the technical details but I think it's quite interesting. We do talk a lot about policy but the - there is I would say a technical side on what we are doing which is about DNS.

Afterwards there is the planning for public or technical identifier budget or this PTI or maybe not - if I'm not mistaken the PTI is we can see it's kind of separate entity from ICANN.

Woman: Would you (unintelligible).

Matthew Shears: Yes, Matthew Shears. Thanks Rafik. I guess I wouldn't quite characterize it as a separate entity from ICANN but PTI is the - is what was the IANA functions. And now they are effectively a subsidiary of ICANN with its own board to give it a little bit of separation but not quite a separate identity.

Rafik Dammak: Okay. That's the (unintelligible) I think (cat) of ICANN separated but not separated. But after that we will go or again back again to working groups on that will be the review of Rights Protection Mechanism, RPM. And the last week we had the Webinar presentation by Kathy Kleiman who has just joined us. So but today Kathy will make - will give an update to GNSO council about RPM and so just kind of I'll tell you, you know, talk about latest updates or I mean what will be the kind of the substance of the briefing today?

Kathy Kleiman: That we're running months late because we keep arguing. That would be the major update as Right Protection Mechanism Working Group.

Rafik Dammak: Okay.

Kathy Kleiman: I apologize. This is Kathy Kleiman. We're talking about the agenda for...

Rafik Dammak: Yes, I mean we are trying kind of to give some insight what are the main session. Since today for example it's mostly about for us from our perspective at GNSO...

Kathy Kleiman: Yes.

Rafik Dammak: ...session just to kind of give people kind of insight what's going to be discussed, what maybe if they should join this session or not. So it's kind of I think straightforward for today's GNSO working session. And there will be several updates so that's good opportunity to attend that now. Yes?

Kathy Kleiman: Do we have counselors in the room? Obviously we do right?

Rafik Dammak: I guess only myself here.

Kathy Kleiman: Sure. Can I suggest that a good question to ask because you can ask questions after the update. The update today will be done by J. Scott Evans, one of the three co-chairs of RPM. And a good question to ask might be is the working group balanced? And if not how are you ensuring that the views are balanced?

Woman: That would be very hard.

Rafik Dammak: Okay.

Woman: (Unintelligible).

Rafik Dammak: Taking note of it. Okay thanks Kathy. Okay so after that we'll have, the GNSO will have a meeting with the global stakeholder engagement. And I think maybe to give some context here is that GNSO council in the last budget comment there was kind of say - well there was a question why we - why the GSC has such a big budget and what they are doing and what they - what the real outcome. And this because from GNSO perspective people are kind of worried that we are not getting enough policy support in term of staffing and resources. So this is kind of maybe I'm not sure if it's good idea but it's to compare between two division or duty - department in term of budgeting. So I think it's - the GNSO wanted to meet with the leader of the global stakeholder engagement to know more - what are the activities and so on and to understand more about that. Okay.

After there is the working lunch but I think what may be in interest many of you here is the joint meeting with the Board Working Group on Internet governance. So the board has this working group and I think GNSO Council want here to know more what's going on but also to give more context is that we have the Cross Community Working Group on Internet governance and the GNSO as one of the chartering organization. It's discussing if it should be one of the chartering organizations. And the question about having such working group.

And so I think they want here to know more about what the board is doing and see the kind of if there is overlap or something like that. So Matthew maybe as you member of the working group what do you think about that?

Matthew Shears: Yes thanks Rafik, Matthew Shears. I think this is an important one for us from a number of respects because a number of us, Rafik, myself and others are involved in the CCWG IG. There is a question at the moment as to which is being discussed as to whether or not that particular effort should continue. There is a feeling in part of the community that Internet governance discussion has wrapped up with the IANA transition. And I think at this stage we're actually deliberating how we can keep the CCWG IG going.

So understanding what the board working group on IG is doing is actually quite important to that other activity as well. So it'd be interesting to see what the priorities are for the board. And also as they shared at the last meeting how the tiered approach to assessing Internet governance issues and their priorities for ICANN is being implemented. Thanks.

Rafik Dammak: Okay thanks Matt. And just maybe before moving to the next item is there any question or comment? Yes (Parna) want to say something? No? Okay.

Kathy Kleiman: Matthew what kind of input from NCC and CSG do we need in that kind of group?

Matthew Shears: Matthew Shears again. Thanks Kathy. Actually I think it's more a question now of demonstrating the importance of continuing to follow and engage in the Internet governance spaces more fully. I think that's the issue. So the more that we can make their case for that the better.

Rafik Dammak: Okay. Okay thanks Matt. The - I think the next agenda item and almost the last one is the International Governance Organization and international government and organization access to curative rights, PDP Working Group. So I think this one of the - this topic has been discussed for a long time starting with the Red Cross and the International Olympic Committee. And we are still kind of trying to figure out how to respond to the pressure from the GAC but also from the IGO on this matter. So Kathy want to add something or...

Kathy Kleiman: Just that this issue like Whois is in many places. And so it's not just this working group. Apparently there's an Implementation Review Team that is very close to blocking all IGOs at the - and new gTLDs at the second level. And I had no idea there was an Implementation Review Team on IGO INGO while there was a working group going on.

     And apparently that team has split into two parts, one on acronyms and one on words. So I just wasn't sure if anyone knew what's going on here. But it's this is like a hydra with many heads. And it doesn't - I'm not sure we're following them.

Rafik Dammak: Yes so thanks Kathy. I think you have said it's many places and also there was like I think that we already convened a previous working group because the request coming from the Red Cross to add a long list a long list and also the variance and for Red Cross and all the related or (international) organization. So I think the working group will resume the discussion. And I think they finish their work like a few years ago so and they already doing that. And also there will - there should be a public comment assume on that

matter. So we - I think we are going to get busy on this. But as you said we need more people to follow the discussion more closely.

Okay. So after all the - all this update and discussion session there will be the cross community, you know, the - I think they changed the name. It's not anymore high interest topics but the cross community. And this one will be about I think another hydra which is the next generation gTLD registration directory services or Whois.

So we'll in fact we'll have I think several session about Whois this week and also there will be another one on the I would say GD GDRP or GDPR?

Man: PR.

Rafik Dammak: GDPR which is the - say this data protection from European Union. And there would - so and also in the - speak the RDS Working Group Session. So I think this quite an important topic as NCSG we have really strong position about this topic. And we have people that they were involved with for I can say for the case on this including you Kathy.

So it's quite important to attend and to - I'm not sure if it's kind of coming from the working group or not but I - it's important to attend. So that's it I think for today in terms of the agenda. But would like to have (loosened) here in the meeting if they have any question or comment or they want to - any clarification. And don't be shy guys. I know that's the morning meeting is not the most dynamic one but we have to start anyway so yes so - that's okay (unintelligible).

Woman: The Public Safety Working Group is meeting today at 1:30 in Ballroom 1. And that also is a meeting that we should probably attend and see what they recommended.

Robert Guerra: So this is Robert Guerra, just a follow-up Kathy on your points. I was actually able to attend part of the public safety training that took place Friday, Saturday. And it was mostly law enforcement from South Africa and Southern African region. And it was - I was only there but a colleague was there for most of the time.

It was mostly an introduction to the DNS, the level of understanding of the DNS. And ICANN was not as high as one would expect. But I think it's likely an ongoing program and I think it's being organized by the GAC Public Safety Working Group which really kind of facilitated it. It was presentations by ICANN security staff. And there are two of us from SSAC there.

There was day two which I wasn't there for was really about how different stakeholders could collaborate with the law enforcement. And part of the messaging that I've tried to put forward to of the organizers is that if there is - it's an introduction to the ICANN community in collaboration with different parts of the ICANN community then invitation should be extended to others who may want to come earlier.

And I think they're receptive to that which is a great thing because I think it's good to be there maybe just to watch to see what's being shared. And I would suggest I think going forward to see and ask at the session today if something similar is being planned for the upcoming ICANN meetings. And if this group wanted to participate what I would suggest to this group is you know what specific knowledge on a particular topic or whether it's Whois or something else could be there and just make sure to raise the hand in saying, you know, we'd like to participate. And so that way in the planning going forward, you know, it seemed to be kind of an innocent training but it was good to be there just to see who's there, what type of issues, the level and then one can create the relationships which are particularly useful when issues arise. Then you have contacts, particularly the region we're going into next where the issues are far more complex in regards to surveillance and

human rights understanding what the capacity and what some of the issues are is particularly of interest.

Rafik Dammak: Yes. Okay thanks Robert. Yes Kathy?

Kathy Kleiman: Although the issues are pretty complex in this region as well Robert and I just want to share yesterday I was in the newcomer session. I've been asked to present about the GNSO and the constituencies. And someone raised their hand of a participant and in the fellowship or NexGen and asked about the training of law enforcement Africa. And he said, "Do you understand that this is controversial in Africa?" And I invited him to join NCUC.

Rafik Dammak: Thanks Kathy. And the point made by Robert will be also kind of interesting to see how they will do in the next in Abu Dhabi. I don't want to comment coming from middle of - I mean a region but anyway. So I think we should follow that working group.

My understanding they start to be more open and they're having open session now for the last meetings but still in terms of engagement we should do more. We invited them I think like one or two years ago to our NCSG session to discuss with like the several representative of the working group. But I think we should do more in terms of engagement and try. I mean it will be challenging to get someone into the working group to be a member. I think that they are kind of restricting the membership but let's try anyway.

Okay so I was told that we should kind of wrap and finish this session. We just have one minute. So if there is any common or any question so please do so now. Okay? So I should not keep you more and let you go to the next.

Man: (Unintelligible) presentation right now.

Rafik Dammak: Sorry?

Man: So at about right now there should be the welcome session and Multi-address Award presentation in the foyer so let's everybody head there.

Rafik Dammak: Okay thanks.

END