CSC Charter Review

Purpose of review & Scope

Purpose of review
- Does charter provide adequate and sound basis for the CSC to perform its responsibilities?

Scope of review
- Does charter enables the CSC to fulfil its role and responsibilities as envisioned?
- Any aspects of the Charter that are ambiguous that require amendment?
- Any additional work items of CSC that should be captured in the Charter ?

Not in scope, other future reviews

- Effectiveness of the CSC: to be reviewed starting in October 2018 in separate effort

- Review of the performance of the CSC: part of the IANA Naming Function Review

- If Issues identified which out of scope but relevant for the proper functioning of the CSC -> inform ccNSO and RySG

Background

- One year review of CSC Charter envisioned by CWG Stewardship

- Part of ICANN Bylaws, section 17

- Included in Charter

- ccNSO and RySG team: represent direct customers

Time table

- *Consultation with CSC and PTI & preparation of draft consultation*

(September – October 2017)

- Consultation with direct customers (ccTLD, gTLD operators) and others (ICANN 60)

- Additional consultations CSC and other (November 2017)

- Report on findings & suggested changes (December 2017 – March 2018)

- Finalization and closure (March – April 2018)

Key documents

- ICANN Bylaws, CSC Charter
- Terms of Reference CSC charter review
- CSC review of its charter

Topics & Questions

- Is the CSC effective in handling its business?

- CSC was expected to ensure cooperation between the stakeholders who rely on the effective operation of the IANA naming functions: is it achieving this?

- CSC proposals (and their wider implications) for charter changes

The CSC meetings are held once a month, and there are regular updates for the community 3 times a year. The CSC needs to be engaged early in addressing any performance issues:

- how would you like to see the framework for interactions between CSC and PTI develop?

- Would there be issues if the frequency of calls reduced or calls were shorter?

- Issues if the number of updates for community at f-2-f sessions would reduced to at least 2 or 1 a year?