





Presentation Title

ELEVE



Name, Title Session Date of Presentation





BACKGROUND:

Submission by the LEAs for reform of the 2013 RAA

If proxy/privacy registrations are allowed, registrars are to accept proxy/privacy registrations only from ICANN accredited Proxy Registration Services. ICANN to implement accreditation system for Proxy Services using the same stringent checks and assurances as provided in these points, to ensure that all proxy services used are traceable and can supply correct details of registrant to relevant authorities.





Whois Policy Review Team Final Report 2012:

There are legitimate uses of privacy proxy (p/p) services

- Individuals who prefer not to have their personal data published on the Internet as part of a WHOIS record;
- **Organizations** as religious, political or ethnic minority, or sharing controversial moral or sexual information; and
- Companies for upcoming mergers, new product or service names, new movie names, or other product launches





Whois Policy Review Team Final Report 2012: There are also problematic uses of p/p services

- law enforcement shared its concern over the abuse of proxy services by criminals seeking to hide, companies defrauding customers, and parties attacking the security of the Internet including by botnets and malware; and
- the current use of privacy and proxy services raises questions about whether ICANN is meeting its AoC commitments relating to 'timely, unrestricted and public access' to WHOIS data.





2013 RAA

 Clause 3.14 Registrars must agree to comply with any ICANN adopted Specification or Policy that established a Proxy Accreditation.
... Until such time as the Proxy Accreditation Program is established, Registrar agrees to comply with the Specification on Privacy and Proxy Registrations.

(query why this is only for proxies)







Specification on Privacy and Proxy Registrations

"Privacy Service" is a service by which a Registered Name is registered to its beneficial user as the Registered Name Holder, but for which alternative, reliable contact information is provided by the P/P Provider for display of the Registered Name Holder's contact information in the Registration Data Service (Whois) or equivalent services.

"Proxy Service" is a service through which a Registered Name Holder licenses use of a Registered Name to the P/P Customer in order to provide the P/P Customer use of the domain name, and the Registered Name Holder's contact information is displayed in the Registration Data Service (Whois) or equivalent services rather than the P/P Customer's contact information.

"P/P Provider" or "Service Provider" is the provider of Privacy/Proxy Services, including Registrar and its Affiliates, as applicable.







Specification's Basic Requirements

- Compliance with the Specification
- Publish terms of service
- Provide an abuse point of contact for third parties wishing to report abuse or infringement of trademarks (or other rights)
- Provide description of processes in handling abuse reports, etc and circumstances under which the P/P customers' identity and/or contact details will be published/revealed





GNSO WG established November 2013: Terms of Reference (summary)

- Main Issues
- Maintenance of p/p services
- Registration of p/p
- Contact point provided by p/p service
- Relay of complaints to p/p customer
- Reveal of p/p customers' identities
- Termination of [accreditation] of p/p service





GNSO WG established November 2013: Terms of Reference (summary)

- Maintenance of p/p services
- Registration of p/p
- Contact point provided by p/p service
- Relay of complaints to p/p customer
- Reveal of p/p customers' identities
- Termination of [accreditation] of p/p service





GNSO WG established November 2013: Terms of Reference (summary)

- Maintenance of p/p services
- Registration of p/p
- Contact point provided by p/p service
- Relay of complaints to p/p customer
- Reveal of p/p customers' identities
- Termination of [accreditation] of p/p service





Release of Issues Paper April 2014: ALAC Comments

- requirements should apply to all P/P providers
- verification requirements as contained in 2013 RAA should apply
- need for balance between legitimate rights to privacy and legitimate rights of LEAs etc to contact information





Provisional Agreement about:

- treatment for privacy and proxy providers for accreditation purposes
- Domain name registrations involving p/p providers should be clearly labelled as such in Whois
- Majority agreement that access to p/p providers should be open to commercial and non-commercial entities equally
- ICANN should publish and maintain a publicly accessible list of all accredited p/p providers, with all appropriate contact information.
- Registrars should provide a web link to p/p services run by them or their Affiliates, and p/p providers should declare their Affiliation with a Registrar (if any) as a requirement of the accreditation program
- Verification of registrant information should be as required in the 2013 RAA and, if information verified by the registrar, does not need to be duplicated by the p/p provider.





Some of the Outstanding Issues

- Accreditation by whom, with what tests and what compliance arrangements
- Responsiveness requirements what and to whom
- Preservation of anonymity in the transfer process





Is there an alternative to today's WHOIS that would better serve the global Internet community?





Registration Directory Data: Future



EWG's Answer:



 Today's WHOIS model of giving every user the same entirely anonymous public access to ofteninaccurate data should be abandoned.





EWG MANDATE



ICANN Board's mandate to EWG:

- Reexamine purpose & provision of gTLD registration data
- Envision a next-generation solution to better serve global Internet community needs
- Create a foundation to help the ICANN community (via the GNSO process) create a new policy for gTLD directory services



EWG MEMBERS



Jean-Francois Baril (Lead Facilitator)

Pekka Ala-Pietilä	Michele Neylon
Lanre Ajayi	Michael Niebel
Steve Crocker	Stephanie Perrin
Chris Disspain	Rod Rasmussen
Scott Hollenbeck	Carlton Samuels
Jin Jian	Faisal Shah
Susan Kawaguchi	Fabricio Vayra
Nora Nanayakkara	



PROCESS TO FINAL REPORT



.....reflects 15+ month effort

- Thousands of hours on in-depth research
- 2600+ pages of comments, responses, results
- 19 public community consultations
- 35 EWG face-to-face meeting days
- 42 EWG calls
- More than 200 subteam calls



HIGHLIGHTS OF FINAL REPORT



Strikes a balance between accuracy, access, and accountability

- Details a proposed next-generation Registration Directory Service (RDS)
- Collects, validates and discloses gTLD registration data for permissible purposes only
 - > Leaves minimum data publicly available
 - Safeguards the rest through a new paradigm of purpose-driven gated access
- Introduces new contracted parties to
 - > Validate Contact Data improve accuracy
 - > Accredit RDS Users improve accountability



EWG ACTIVITIES HERE



Extended Q&A Opportunities for community

- EWG Final Report Discussion Session #1
- Monday, 23 June, 1700 1900
- EWG Final Report Discussion Session #2
- Wednesday, 25 June, 0800 1000









https://twitter.com/ICANN



http://gplus.to/icann



https://www.facebook.com/icannorg



http://youtube.com/user/icannnews



http://www.linkedin.com/company/icann



