
LONDON – ATLAS II Thematic Group 5: At-Large Community Engagement in ICANN - Session 4

Sunday, June 22, 2014 – 15:00 to 16:30

ICANN – London, England

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: ...about this kind of stuff. These are the ones that will be identified to work on this topic.

MICHAEL FORDE: I understand that but, you understand that, I understand that, but someone that will read the document for the first time, it will be very blurred. So maybe if I understood correctly, there was, what we mean here is actually, or not summary topics on issue that is being presented.

Was that the direction? I mean, not the wording, but the direction.

DEV ANAND TEELUCKSINGH: This is Dev. So, the way that I would think about it is that, you know, in the public comments that we have on the wiki, because [?] on social media, the issues like, okay, well, we can't just simply say IRTP part D, you know. You want to phrase it so that, okay, if you're are... You want to try to give a summary as to why you should care. I guess that's how I would put it.

Why you should care from At Large, because what has that got to do with end users? You know, so privacy/proxy services, okay. Well, you know, there is a public comment on the privacy/proxy service. Okay. The reason why I should care is if you care about your privacy, you

Note: The following is the output resulting from transcribing an audio file into a word/text document. Although the transcription is largely accurate, in some cases may be incomplete or inaccurate due to inaudible passages and grammatical corrections. It is posted as an aid to the original audio file, but should not be treated as an authoritative record.

know, you have to explain that. So you have to craft that as part of the...

So when the public comment goes on the wiki, that information is also there. And I know ICANN tries to define it sometimes, but, you know, I still find that there is probably still room for improvement.

KONSTANTIN KALAITZIDIS: So this is Konstantin. We want to talk about the policy issues and strength the relevance, or identify SMEs within the RALOs. So, or do we want to... Because there is another statement here that says, a recommendation, each RALO should have a policy group. And, right? So, maybe that's, in terms of recommendation, define the issue and strength the relevance... Define the issue and state, how about state topics of interest? Something like that.

And then recommendation is for each RALO to establish a policy group. You want to type that down? I mean, we can go through the draft and then we can go back and revisit them. I think we should go through all of these, and then we can go back and define them. What do you think?

DEV ANAND TEELUCKSINGH: All right. I see two hands, Bogdan and then Olivier.

BOGDAN MANOLEA: Direct suggestion for the first one, maybe to change it with, clarify the issues that state an Internet user, or for a regular user. Thank you sir.

UNIDENTIFIED: It's not coming, really, in synch right now.

KONSTANTIN KALAITZIDIS: I think we should just put down the ideas as the participants state it, and then we can go back and refine it. That's probably the fastest way to get this done, because we take the next 15 minutes and just fly through whatever we have in rough draft, and then we go back to it in revisit it.

DEV ANAND TEELUCKSINGH: Olivier?

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thanks very much Dev. It's Olivier speaking. I have just been thinking about the process, seeing the time pass by. And some of us are dozing off because we've had lunch as well. It's well understood that your sugar levels are going down, you're like... I wonder whether we can identify all of the issues which you recorded, and then we could split into two groups.

We've got two drafters, we can huddle around. This doesn't need to be recorded as such. This is now the drafting stuff, and it's very difficult to say, Dev for the record, Olivier for the record, and this... We're drafting it.

KONSTANTIN KALAITZIDIS: I like that.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: And we can then split into two groups. One on this side, you're on the same Google document, so you're able to both edit the same document. We divide the work into two and we go twice as fast, and then we'll leave the last half an hour or 20 minutes to be able to run through our recommendations.

I mean, we don't need to have a polished document by the end of this hour and a half. We need to at least all of the points on there.

So identify all the issues, list them all and so on, then we'll split. Then the second thing I thought, there are some recommendations which we're going to give. I mean, all of that goes to the Board. But some of them are aimed at the ALAC and the At Large to do. Things like identification of the topics, finding out in our community who subject matter experts are, etc. That's ALAC work, that's At Large work. That's things we're going to have to do.

Some of the recommendations are aimed at the Board, because that's something for ICANN to do. And we will, but we will do that later on when we renumber the recommendations and stuff, we'll be able to separate them. And we need to clearly identify them. That will be stage two, and we'll probably be able to do that after this meeting anyway. That's sort of a formatting thing.

So let's go through the list.

KONSTANTIN KALAITZIDIS: This is Konstantin. So what we do is basically, this is the original. We make two copies, you take one, I'll take the other, so that we don't mess each other up. And then we put them together.

DEV ANAND TEELUCKSINGH: This is Dev. I mean, it's the same Google Doc, right?

KONSTANTIN KALAITZIDIS: Yes.

DEV ANAND TEELUCKSINGH: I don't know when you say take two copies. What do you mean by that?

KONSTANTIN KALAITZIDIS: He takes the first half, I take the other half so we don't... Because I'm not sure how the synchronization happens. If we are working on the same document.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: You can actually work the two on the same sentence. And you can have a game where one person writes something and the other person writes something else afterwards, and he can catch up with you. Or you can...

KONSTANTIN KALAITZIDIS: Okay. Then we can do that. All right. Okay. Keep typing then.
[Laughter]

FOUAD BAJWA: Some of them, you know, just to bring everyone back, because [?] crazy levels. Some of the things that were discussed today was, the discussion about the policy decision making and comment support system, right, if you may recall. I haven't.... It's hard technology, but it's sort of to give you an idea, that we were discussing how to better organize the policy comment process.

This was something that we were talking about, that we can recommend to ICANN to look at a policy management system design, which could have the incorporation of more fluid and crowd source, what do you call it, comments and better management, better [?] control, and so forth.

KONSTANTIN KALAITZIDIS: And to continue, I guess...

UNIDENTIFIED: That's cooler, I don't know, stomach...

KONSTANTIN KALAITZIDIS: So look at alternate software packages, including open source, what's available. And is that where... I have to ask. I also have a note here about crowd sourcing. Was that related to this? Yeah, right. So that's another recommendation.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: And I think we can fold in that the liquid feedback.

[CROSSTALK]

DEV ANAND TEELUCKSINGH: ...I guess two such adds.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Two such adds , maybe, or I don't know how they describe...

FOUAD BAJWA: This is all written there, you know, we have all of the topics listed. Just take five minutes to put the information in. And then...

[CROSSTALK]

KONSTANTIN KALAITZIDIS: Okay. And then there was another comment in terms of... Okay. So another problem statement is, establish is... Yeah. I'll wait.

UNIDENTIFIED: The acronym for this becomes PMP, the policy management process.

KONSTANTIN KALAITZIDIS: Okay. And then while you're typing, just go to another problem statement. So I think somebody mentioned about actually being able to, I think Fouad maybe that was you? Or about metrics and policy? For instance, the policy management system, well actually that can be a recommendation I suppose.

The policy management system have a way to deliver metrics, so that we know who is, you know, how much, what was it? How many people are looking at it, how many people are working on it, and so on. What

policies are the hottest and the coldest and so on. Are there any comments on that?

DEV ANAND TEELUCKSINGH: This is Dev. So this is regarding and looking at how open data initiatives are used. Is that that hardware open data? And do you want to mention that?

UNIDENTIFIED: I put in this information, but when I go back to this... So...

DEV ANAND TEELUCKSINGH: Olivier?

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Yeah. One recommendation I saw here, make ALAC policy page user friendly with an usability expert. Does that work with the policy management process? And is that policy management process in ICANN are we looking at? Or policy management process...?

UNIDENTIFIED: These are ICANN...

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: These are ICANN ones, okay.

UNIDENTIFIED: These are specific to ICANN.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Okay. Maybe then don't make a recommendation on that because they're trying to do this...

UNIDENTIFIED: Where we're putting ICANN, we're mentioning ICANN, and putting the policy management process in ICANN.

KONSTANTIN KALAITZIDIS: So there was another discussion which may be tied to this one, which was about the translations. And I think Olivier, you were the one that mentioned maybe we should have a translation to focus system, so that the policy, since time is limited, the policy... So that's another recommendation. No, that's actually, the problem statement is that translations, policy translations do not happen.

Policy request for comments do not happen on a timely basis in different languages. And the recommendation is, identify a focus system, do not take place, does not, yeah. Actually, you want to reword that? Policy request for comments in different languages, do not occur on a timely basis. And the recommendation is to identify a forecast system for the translation queue.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Fouad has done it again. Different international languages, I don't know. Different languages would be okay, different international, double wording.

[CROSSTALK]

FOUAD BAJWA: Okay. Okay. Identify what?

KONSTANTIN KALAITZIDIS: Identify a translation queue forecast system. Yeah, you got it? A translation queue. Q-U-E, forecast system.

FOUAD BAJWA: Forecast system

KONSTANTIN KALAITZIDIS: Yeah. Because that's, you know, as Olivier was saying, sometimes it takes 24 hours, sometimes it can even take two months.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Q-U-E-U-E.

And perhaps even mention the word CRM, customer relationship management system.

KONSTANTIN KALAITZIDIS: And then you can put... We can do ICANN and, oh, I'm sorry.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Yeah, CRM. That's an acronym. Translation. At the moment, everything is done by hand. You send an email, they think how much time is it going to take? They send the email to one of their translators...

[CROSSTALK]

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: I can't imagine...

KONSTANTIN KALAITZIDIS: CMS.

FOUAD BAJWA: Oh, you mean a document management system.

KONSTANTIN KALAITZIDIS: Yeah. That's another word for it.

FOUAD BAJWA: No document name system, document review system.

KONSTANTIN KALAITZIDIS: Whatever works. Okay. So maybe go to the next one, and then we'll come back and put in the details. This is a new problem statement. There is no definition for public interest. We need, the problem statement is, we need to define what public interest, define public interest.

[CROSSTALK]

FOUAD BAJWA: ...more dramatic, you know? Define the public interest within ICANN's context.

KONSTANTIN KALAITZIDIS: Yeah.

FOUAD BAJWA: How does this sound?

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Okay.

KONSTANTIN KALAITZIDIS: And we can come back to that. A new problem statement is, define ICANN customer.

And then a new problem statement, define the difference between consumer versus user.

FOUAD BAJWA: It could be also the same one.

DEV ANAND TEELUCKSINGH: Yeah.

FOUAD BAJWA: Define ICANN [?], comma, differentiate [?]...

KONSTANTIN KALAITZIDIS: Consumer versus user.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Yeah, it's Olivier. I wouldn't spend too much time on that one since I think TG1 has been spent a lot of time defining customers and users.

FOUAD BAJWA: One possibility is how could help them in the overall document to see that, you know? This was an issue of consent, even for this group.

DEV ANAND TEELUCKSINGH: Did you have a particular comment on...?

YJ PARK: YJ speaking. I was about to make a comment before. Rather than the public interest one, we were talking about the translation issues before. But I think translation is also important, but sort of the terminology. There are so new terminology, even though I understand English. But the kind of terminology and the kind of the English, some people are talking in this space, is very much different from the common language.

So sometimes it's really difficult for those who didn't really have those background get involved with this debate in a very substantial matter. So, for example, I just attended this brand registry group meeting, where there were talking a lot about the brand issues, in which I found very interesting, policy challenge in the future.

Because they were talking about the possibility to open the two code letter, which might have the conflict with ccTLD issues. And when they are talking about that, they were talking about specification 13, specification 5, or something. I know what specification means, but I

didn't really know what specification 13, in this context, so there are a lot of those, the terminology which are now familiar with.

And the issue keep being created. So I think when we really wanted to get engaged with this policy dialogue, we probably need not only translation service, but also some kind of the background information about specific terminology it has been used in those policy dialogues.

So maybe we can ask, you know, all of those acronyms, and all of those terminology, can get more, you know, described in layman's words, rather than all those technical wordings.

KONSTANTIN KALAITZIDIS: This is Konstantin. So I guess that goes back to the previous problem statement. Right? In the translation problem statement, and basically...

YJ PARK: Yeah, this one. The improving the policy management process in ICANN. So...

KONSTANTIN KALAITZIDIS: Yeah, number three, item three. So identify translation to focus system, incorporate a document review system, and ensure that the all acronyms, all the acronyms and terminology are clearly defined in third grade level. Yeah. So can you add that?

So at the end, yeah, ensure that all acronyms and terminology used in its policy, and references...

MICHAEL FORDE: Sorry. For the record, Michael Forde, LACRALO. I was just adding that, trying to expand on that by suggesting that we make sure that references to other documents, or the documents that some people sought in this, might understand well, but those of us new to it don't.

I've experienced that a lot too. People speak of section three, and they don't say in what, and they don't say what it is. So all of those references, we have to make sure we explain what document you're talking about and not assume that somebody knows it. And even guide them by saying what it is all about, because they may have never heard of it before.

KONSTANTIN KALAITZIDIS: So I think that's a separate recommendation.

DEV ANAND TEELUCKSINGH: Okay. Olivier, sorry.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thanks Dev. Is that a recommendation for ICANN or for At Large? Because the concern I have, the recommendation for ICANN, the ICANN documents like the Registrar Accreditation Agreement, and so on, for those subject matter experts that no what the topic is about, they'll know what specification 13, 9, 12, all of these, they'll know what there are, because that's part of the working documents that they've been working on for several years.

So our subject matter experts will know what they are, but I don't think we can ask for ICANN to turn every document that they're working on,

with every single you know, a glossary of absolutely every single term being used in the document. Otherwise this is just not going to work.

DEV ANAND TEELUCKSINGH: YJ.

YJ PARK:

Yeah, I think it might be difficult at the beginning to institutionalize those kind of practices, but I don't think it's only the benefit of At Large users, it can be the benefit of, for example, those are the companies who are not in this ICANN community yet, but who really wanted to join this kind of ICANN community for further discussion.

It's not only the users and companies, but also government representatives. I think those who were not in this space for policy discussion, it's really difficult to understand all of those new words, because people keep creating new names. I mean, just like today, you know, they keep, like generating new terminology.

If you are not in this meeting and in this space, it's very difficult to follow up. So I think it's better to leave some record, so those who come to this dialogue later, they can still follow up and chase those kind of previous discussions.

KONSTANTIN KALAITZIDIS: Would you change anything in that terminology then? And we can decide if its ALAC, and we can put in parenthesis whether it's ALAC or ICANN, but is that terminology okay? Or would you change anything in that terminology in that sentence, item number 4 and 5?

YJ PARK: Yeah. I think that seems to be okay with me. But, I mean, I'm not a native speaker of English, so I might review that with different opinion, but at this stage, it looks fine.

KONSTANTIN KALAITZIDIS: For after, I guess, it's okay. Okay. So we'll just keep going. I have a few more. Just go to a new problem statement, thank you. Can I just keep going Fouad? I mean, sorry.

So we're talking about to set up a public interest forum, not a public interest, whatever you called it previously, that came with that acronym. Yeah.

FOUAD BAJWA: The public interest summit.

KONSTANTIN KALAITZIDIS: Yeah. How about public interest forum instead.

DEV ANAND TEELUCKSINGH: We are getting tired, aren't we?

FOUAD BAJWA: That was off the record.

KONSTANTIN KALAITZIDIS: And underneath that, the reason for that is, establish a public interest forum slash summit, if you like, to understand the vision of public interest in the minds of the stakeholders, and how the stakeholders define it.

DEV ANAND TEELUCKSINGH: Okay. This is Dev. I mean, as I recall, so we identified that ICANN is planning and is focusing in its strategic plan for the next five years, to establish, you know, to clarify the public responsibility framework. So I suppose we can just say, ICANN... Well, we support the need to clarify the public interest.

To support the ICANN's proposals to identify the public responsibility framework, and I think it would come, otherwise they establish, all right, the public Internet, Internet summit, similar in concept to NetMundial. You see, I think that was the idea.

To have a single focus meeting to look at the issue. Yeah, a single meeting, yeah. A single topic meeting to focus on that issue. I think that's the wording, I was trying to say. I don't know about summit and so on. Konstantin?

KONSTANTIN KALAITZIDIS: What would you change in that terminology then? The way it's written?

DEV ANAND TEELUCKSINGH: This is Dev. Support the FY 15 strategic plan, focus recommendation on clarifying the public interest. And we can grab the words exactly from that document. But that's...

Strategic plan. Thomas? Sorry.

THOMAS LOWENHAUPT: Tom Lowenhaupt. ICANN should clarify the public interest in order to provide a measure against these actions, to have a metric or to have... You want to know the public interest so that you can measure things by it. Is this in the public interest? So just some language that says it should... A reason for why you should clarify the public interest.

I think, you know, so that ICANN can do its work properly. So that we can decide what work measures up to it. Somebody can clarify that.

KONSTANTIN KALAITZIDIS: This is Konstantin. So, you're saying that establish metric to measure the effectiveness of the public interest?

THOMAS LOWENHAUPT: No. I'm saying, I'm just saying it might be helpful to measure, to give a reason why you want to know the public interest. Why do we want to know the public interest? Because every action that ICANN takes should be measured against the public interest. Is this in the public interest? Yeah, you have to know what the public interest is. Sorry, go on.

DEV ANAND TEELUCKSINGH: This is Dev speaking. I mean, it's not just ICANN support FY 15, it's really At Large. At Large supports the FY 15. Yeah.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: ICANN is presenting the FY 15, it can't just support it because that's, it's ICANN's.

DEV ANAND TEELUCKSINGH: So the At Large...

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: At Large supports the FY 15 strategic plan article that calls for clarifying the ICANN public interest in order...

I thought we were just looking at the issues and then we were going to split into two groups.

KONSTANTIN KALAITZIDIS: I guess it didn't happen. I'm trying to push it along. Next problem statement, are you there? Transform the... So this was about transforming the GAC and ALAC, and reorganize the relationship of supporting organizations and advisory committees. And this was related to, I guess, the statements in that Evan...

DEV ANAND TEELUCKSINGH: Sorry.

KONSTANTIN KALAITZIDIS: Yeah.

DEV ANAND TEELUCKSINGH: Finish, I was, finish please.

KONSTANTIN KALAITZIDIS: And so, transform the GAC and ALAC and the relationship of supporting organizations and advisory committees. And establish, oh no, that's something else. That's it. That's what I had. There is more stuff underneath, but, okay. Go.

FOUAD BAJWA: Transforming or improving the role?

DEV ANAND TEELUCKSINGH: Lost me. This is Dev. So I think this part of the note was related to the R3 paper. And the R3 paper had some specific general recommendations, and I think the consensus was that we should include those recommendations, restate those recommendations from the R3 paper. Isn't that what was this part? Yeah.

KONSTANTIN KALAITZIDIS: Yeah, that's where it came from.

DEV ANAND TEELUCKSINGH: Yeah.

KONSTANTIN KALAITZIDIS: That's the one Evan and Jean-Jacques were involved in.

DEV ANAND TEELUCKSINGH: Yes. The R3 paper, yes.

KONSTANTIN KALAITZIDIS: Yeah, okay, yeah. That's where it came from. You can bring it in afterwards. So, yeah.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: This doesn't come from the R3 paper, this is from the ATRT, this is from the accountability and transparency review. The R3 paper is asking for a complete change of, advisory committee is making policy and supporting organizations taking on a different role.

DEV ANAND TEELUCKSINGH: Sorry.

FOUAD BAJWA: [?] the ATRT. In the notes. Yeah.

KONSTANTIN KALAITZIDIS: Okay. We can come back and clear this as well. Okay. So the new problem statement. We need to identify of who At Large is, within the global context...

FOUAD BAJWA: The user interest, this is about the user interest.

KONSTANTIN KALAITZIDIS: Right.

FOUAD BAJWA: Let me just come back [?]

KONSTANTIN KALAITZIDIS: And it's another item here, are you going back to the previous one? Okay.

FOUAD BAJWA: Who we are and what we do.

KONSTANTIN KALAITZIDIS: Right. And...

FOUAD BAJWA: ...the users, can At Large protect public interest?

KONSTANTIN KALAITZIDIS: Right. Can we be identified as a public interest group? Who are we?

FOUAD BAJWA: At Large engagement, ALSs, [?] decisions...

KONSTANTIN KALAITZIDIS: Right, right, yes.

FOUAD BAJWA: ...engagement with the GNSO? Can we be identified as a public interest group? GAC [?] and to protect the public interest, [?] ..that represents

the public interest, that, you know, oh okay. At Large needs to bring consensus. These were the points for this.

KONSTANTIN KALAITZIDIS: So I think the problem statement could be... Do you want the problem statement or recommendations?

FOUAD BJAWA: What is in user interests?

KONSTANTIN KALAITZIDIS: Is that what it is?

DEV ANAND TEELUCKSINGH: So, this is Dev. As I call, it's just what YJ was talking about in terms of, I guess, clarifying the roles of the various ACs and supporting organizations in representing the public interest. Fine. I think that's what that point was essentially trying to say.

KONSTANTIN KALAITZIDIS: Can you repeat that please?

DEV ANAND TEELUCKSINGH: This is Dev. Clarify the... Clarify the, oh dear.

All right, let me just focus here. So, this is what YJ was saying in that, it is to clarify for the ACs and SOs as to how they represent the public interest.

FOUAD BJAWA: That can go into the ICANN one.

DEV ANAND TEELUCKSINGH: Well, yes, because, but ICANN should convene that process, by which those communities can do it.

FOUAD BJAWA: We can say, define the public interest within the context of ICANN and the Internet community.

KONSTANTIN KALAITZIDIS: Yeah, let's go with that for now. And... Yeah.

DEV ANAND TEELUCKSINGH: Yannis?

YANNIS LI: Actually, sorry. This is Yannis speaking. And actually, because I wasn't here during the discussions, but I just feel that for recommendations one, for ICANN should clarify the public interest, I just have a feeling that actually, I mean, the term should be clarify or define by the community together, but not just the ICANN cooperation itself.

So I don't know, it just doesn't feel really all right with me for number one.

FOUAD BJAWA: No, but this is, in its operating strategy plan, to define the public interest. And it's [?] to making decisions, and [?] using this term, that ICANN, this is in the public interest, are in the public interest. So what grounds are already doing that? And this is no accepted definition.

YANNIS LI: Yeah, I mean, we should define it together, but I mean, not the ICANN staff themselves, or...

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: That's what three says.

FOUAD BJAWA: That's why you are meeting with summit, to be organized within an ICANN meeting, where all of the communities sit together, may be start one day before the actual ICANN meeting, and look into this.

YANNIS LI: Okay, I get it.

DEV ANAND TEELUCKSINGH: Alan?

ALAN SKUCE: Alan Skuce for the transcript. I was just wondering whether we should have a definition of public interest in ICANN legal. And there is...

DEV ANAND TEELUCKSINGH: You can answer that, but don't think so.

KONSTANTIN KALAITZIDIS: Okay go to next problem statement. Okay. Can you go to a new one? And this is about engagement, volunteer engagement. Need to engage more volunteers on specific topics by bringing them up to speed, and clarifying acronyms and background, by bringing them up through education of acronyms...

You could. Okay.

Okay. Then I'll keep going.

FOUAD BAJWA: [?...]in capacity building and improving [?]...

KONSTANTIN KALAITZIDIS: So maybe then you can write, bring people up to speed of acronyms and ensure that you clearly define acronyms, and terminology used, which is the same as translation, acronyms and terminology used to make sure people understand what the topic is about.

So that's the recommendation, I guess.

DEV ANAND TEELUCKSINGH: Okay. This is Dev. So I think those are the discussions also, regarding capacity building, was to, having a repeated... This is more for At Large. To have a repeated set of capacity building webinars, sort of to what the ATLAS 2 capacity building webinars did. Because everyone felt that that

those webinars were very good, and it should be repeated, and especially because the recordings, people can go back to the recordings and learn from that.

So that was from the ALAC side of things. Regarding, and also...

And now I've forgotten my second thought. Thomas, go ahead. Maybe you recall it.

THOMAS LOWENHAUPT: A different one, but I think within the capacity building, I had mentioned earlier today, and/or yesterday, about having At Large, requiring that cities applying for TLDs have an At Large structure to address issues associated with it, with the, ascertainment as to whether, to what it is to be used for, somewhere those words.

DEV ANAND TEELUCKSINGH: This is Dev. I think I was referring to your beginning comments, at the beginning of the session regarding applications for ccTLDs, you know, you should have an ALS that can be identified for those, within those cities that can be involved with not only that ccTLD, but in ICANN...

THOMAS LOWENHAUPT: ...as well, yes.

DEV ANAND TEELUCKSINGH: But I think, going back to... Well, I don't know if that's the capacity building one, but I think it was what Michael was talking about in terms

of, I think Michael, if I recall, you were saying something along the lines of, how do we get persons from being observers to engaged volunteers?

And you were saying something along the lines of, that, well, you know, do you need somebody to help walk you through it, walk through the process, and make it more... Can you recall? Perhaps you can repeat it, because I can't find it in my notes right now unfortunately.

MICHAEL FORDE:

Michael Forde, LACRALO. I cannot remember my exact words, but I think I was going along with the general trend that Dev was referencing. And of course, like this, a newcomer or a newbie, needs help with walking through the mire of abbreviations and acronyms. And so we made this point many times before, but there needs to be a define, I call it a defined program or a set way of doing it.

It's something that the new person can rely on a note that is there, to help them for the first few months, no doubt, until they get accustomed to the whole thing. Otherwise, you rapidly drop out of it.

DEV ANAND TEELUCKSINGH:

I'm sorry. Thomas, you have something to say? I see your hand. Konstantin, sorry.

KONSTANTIN KALAITZIDIS:

All right. Can we continue? Obviously, we're running out of time, so. So there was a discussion about the, establishing an archive of system in Confluence, to reduce the amount of information and have the more

relevant items. So the problem statement is, limit, minimize the amount of information and documents online, if there is...

DEV ANAND TEELUCKSINGH: Olivier, we are running out of time, go on.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Yeah, point of order, Mr. Chair. It is quarter to five. This was supposed to end at 4:30. The plenary session is at 5:00. What do we do? And I have to go now because I have to go to an interview.

KONSTANTIN KALAITZIDIS: Can we bring the...?

DEV ANAND TEELUCKSINGH: Go ahead Konstantin.

KONSTANTIN KALAITZIDIS: Can we, we have the problem statements and some recommendations, can we quickly fill them in, and then that's basically what we go through, right? Because we're pretty close to...

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: So, what I would suggest, perhaps, it's Olivier speaking. You fill them, I have to run. You fill them in and then circulate the Google doc think on the wiki, not on the wiki, sorry, on the working group, maybe on the mailing list working group. No, don't put it on the mailing list because

it's public, and then you might have some idiot from outside going, oh, excellent. Let's destroy the whole thing.

So put it as, ask Susie to give each of the email address of all of us in the working group, give us all to have the access to the wiki, to this thing, the Google doc. And then we'll do a bit of filling in later on, maybe tonight. If we're going to sleep, this sort of stuff.

I had a few points I also wanted to add in there, which fit in those categories, by the way.

KONSTANTIN KALAITZIDIS: When do we have to report this?

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: We have until the session that puts it all together, so I think, is it Wednesday 4:00?

KONSTANTIN KALAITZIDIS: Oh, it's not tonight?

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Oh, no. Tonight we need to just get the main points and just a brief report, a verbal report of the main points. But we have another two days to work on this, outside of our usual meetings.

FOUAD BAJWA: ...and when do you do this? Give them endpoints?

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: 5 PM, 15 minutes from now.

FOUAD BAJWA: So if I sit with you, can I do it?

DEV ANAND TEELUCKSINGH: This is Dev. I will try to do it.

FOUAD BAJWA: [?].ask for the mic. And then you pointed out, [?].

KONSTANTIN KALAITZIDIS: How about if I take this and I finish it off, and then you guys can do whatever. Okay.

DEV ANAND TEELUCKSINGH: Understood.

MICHAEL FORDE: A practical suggestion. I think you can send by the other group, the email group, and you can just make it visible only for comments. So in this way, only you have access to the whole text and the others can just comment on it.

FOUAD BAJWA: The issue on the public mailing list is that, you know, the kind of words we're using right now, have [?]. Haven't been filtered according to language, the accepted language, you can say.

DEV ANAND TEELUCKSINGH: So, Susie is circulating the piece of paper. Everybody is going to be giving their email addresses, and then we'll circulate the link privately amongst ourselves.

FOUAD BAJWA: [?]. So all of the changes you make, they will be in a different color, and will show your name. So no ways about that. You can [?] the same document, you know.

THOMAS LOWENHAUPT: Tom Lowenhaupt. I was just curious, so the presentation tonight... So I think one of the major discussions, elements, that was made, was in regard to the, I don't know how to phrase it, but the independent funding. You know the fact that we want that, and that was mentioned yesterday by YJ and earlier today again.

So I just wondering whether what's mentioned is it, or can those things be further discussed [CROSSTALK]...

DEV ANAND TEELUCKSINGH: This is Dev. I would suggest that once the Google Doc goes out, you might want to then insert that as a comment, okay, we should add those points there, because I don't know if you could very wordsmith

that right now, at this point. So, when the Google Doc goes out, the comments regarding the funding, because I think that's probably a separate point there as well.

That ALAC should have its own funds for furthering the At Large work, and so forth. You can do that through the Google Doc, like I said, we don't have the time. I have to admit, I thought it was going, my mistake, I missed the time on that. My apologies.

[END OF TRANSCRIPTION]