DISRex

Do you need an aggressive benchmark?

Alex Rousskov

The Measurement Factory

DNS Rex At a Glance

- A performance test tool for DNS resolvers.
- Born 2009 A.D. (Cenozoic Era).
- Designed to intimidate powerful resolvers.
- Could also quickly poison caching resolvers.
- Mission accomplished!
- Publicly released and dormant since 2012.
- Will fossilize without demand and more work.

Why a yet another DNS benchmark? We said "no" more than once, but...

- Most tools focused on authoritative servers.
- Also needed to test cache poisoning defenses.
- Most tools were slow, unreliable, or shady.
- Angst and distrust among resolver engineers (see Exhibit A).
- Experience creating HTTP performance tools; it was "easy" for us to detect/foresee problems.

Exhibit A: Testing Resolver X

• Tool A's conclusion:

Maximum throughput: 22'346 qps

_ost at that point: 24%

• Tool B's conclusion:

Sustained throughput: 120'000 qps

Transaction errors: 0

Argh!...

Why a yet another DNS benchmark? We said "no" more than once, but...

... gave up and wrote what we needed.

Why no progress since ~2007? (a speculation)

- Easy problems have been solved (in 3K LOC):
 - send UDP queries at an increasing rate
 - bail on errors
 - RELEASE NOTES:

._____

January 10, 2008 Known Issues:

- None.

... Since ~2007

- No more automagic performance improvement!
 - MUST use threads for reasonable scale
- Remaining problems are much harder:
 - fundamental benchmarking problems
 - threading is difficult enough on its own
 - solving hard problems while threading is harder
- Past tool suppliers have to focus on survival.
- Insufficient demand???

... but if we want to move forward

What would an **ideal** tool for measuring caching resolver performance be?

Ideal: Persistence

sustaining load for longer than a few minutes

"The 3 million record query file has been replaced with a 10 million record query file as 3 million records were not enough for a full run on modern hardware."

-- 2012 testing instructions

10M / 100K QPS = 100 seconds

Ideal: Persistence

sustaining load for longer than a few minutes

"The longest single attack lasted nine days and 11 hours."

-- NSFOCUS DDoS Threat Report

Ideal: Scalability

• SMP Scalability:

"faster" than any resolver on similar hardware

but since there is custom and \$\$\$ hardware...

Swarm-ability:

test synchronization and results aggregation across off-the-shelf and/or cheaper drones

Ideal: Scalability

worst case scenario?

"The single largest attack [rate was] 23 million PPS."

-- NSFOCUS DDoS Threat Report

Ideal: Cache Awareness

ability to offer any configured hit ratio

 offered hit ratio is a ratio of hits that would be served by a perfect infinite cache

- relatively short traces: 100% offered hit ratio
- infinitely long traces: X% offered hit ratio

Ideal: Slowness

simulating authoritative server problems:

- response delays
- packet drops
- NXDOMAIN
- bad referrals
- errors

Ideal: Independence

- no 3rd party authoritative servers:
 - slow (what are you testing?)
 - difficult to configure correctly for the test
 - difficult to replicate
 - limited statistics
 - the real ones do not want to be attacked

- no resolver libraries?
- no resolver developers???

Ideal: Protocol Features

- IPv6
- TCP
- DNSSEC (1000s of generated signed zones!)
- NXDOMAIN (hijacking infrastructure tests???)

Ideal: Ease of use

- configuration files
- awareness and assessment of test environment
- detailed performance reports
- GUI???

Ideal: Other

Not detailing several key properties/features:

- reliability (but see Exhibit A)
- realism
- cost
- flexibility (scriptability??)
- portability
- openness?

DNS Rex vs The Ideal (marketing)

- Reliability
- Persistence
- Scalability
- Cache Awareness
- Slowness
- Independence
- ***** IPv6
- * TCP
- DNSSEC
- Ease of use

DNS Rex vs The Ideal

(reality)

¾ Rel iability	Rex needs	more exposure/testing to be sure
Persistence		
½ Scala bility	Rex suppor	rts SMP scale but not swarming
³ / ₄ Cache Awarene	ss only 0% ar	nd 100% hit ratio is configurable
³ / ₄ Sl owness	configurab	le think time but not error ratio
Independence		
* IPv6	mostly rea	dy but lacking configuration
* TCP		
<mark>⅓ DNSSE</mark> C	sends DO l	out relies on manual zone signing
½ Ease of use	Rex has co	nfig file, detects overload, but

What's Next?

• Leave DNS Rex as is, allowing it to die?

or

- Relaunch the project?
 - focusing on what features?

Feedback

Alex Rousskov

The Measurement Factory

info@measurement-factory.com

http://rex.measurement-factory.com/