Transcription ICANN Los Angeles
Discussion on Motions
Saturday 11 October 2014

Note: The following is the output of transcribing from an audio. Although the transcription is largely accurate, in some cases it is incomplete or inaccurate due to inaudible passages or transcription errors. It is posted as an aid to understanding the proceedings at the meeting, but should not be treated as an authoritative record.
On page: http://gnso.icann.org/en/calendar/#oct
    The recordings and transcriptions of the calls are posted on the GNSO Master Calendar page

Man: Well (unintelligible) here – could you just remind us again of how long it took to complete this PDP?

Man: I'd have to look exactly a little longer than we wanted -- I think we wanted to have final reports by London. So we're probably six months overdue on a twelve month – I'm looking at staff and Lars. I think we're probably under eighteen months.

            Okay, so January 23 is when it was launched, January 2013 is when it was launched. I believe that the group started working shortly thereafter February of this…

Man: (Lars), did you want to say anything?

(Lars):   Yes, I mean it – (unintelligible) motion, it was launched by the council on the 17th of January and I think the first working session was on the 28th of February, 2013.

Man: So still rather reasonably quickly here.

Man: It's not terrible, but we did miss our goal, we were shooting for London.

Man: I'm going to move us along then unless there's anything else anyone wants to say. Let's pull up the next motion.

So we haven't talked about this at all apart from cross references. This is the adoption of the charter for cross community working group to discuss in-depth governance issues effecting ICANN and make recommendations that charting organizations on their issues. It's a motion made by Avri and is second by James. Clearly this is in effect – well, that may not say anything.

Avri, would you like to introduce and make any comments?

Avri Doria: Sure. This is part of where my optimism comes from - - this charter as you can see on the date of the charter if you look at it was last edited in May and has been lingering since then. They couldn't find anyone to put it forward for it was both a member of the group and a member of the council. As an observer in that group who until recently didn't even have posting privileges without review, I decided okay, as a council member I would put it forward.

This group basically I think spent about a year trying to come up with the charter. At this point the charter has been approved I believe by both ALAC and SSAC and is ready to go. So it's there for us to join. But I basically did the motion on behalf of a group that I'm an observer in.

Man: Comments, questions, thoughts on this?

Go ahead.

Woman: Hello, my name is (Unintelligible) and I represent the noncommercial users consistency in the group. I just would like to mention that the group is working - - we have a lot of things to discuss. I don't need to remind everybody that next year will be a very important year to discuss Internet

governance. We have the (unintelligible) review process coming up -- we have the IT (unintelligible), we have all the processes that you have mentioned and mentioned that ICANN is involved and asking for clarification from Friday.

And I believe that this group here should not waste time discussing this issue relating to Internet governance. And I agree with others that Internet governance could take care of itself and let the GNSO working on issues that are specifically for the GNSO to work on. But we have a working group to follow-up on the issues that ICANN, whether or not is involved and its very important that this group works based on the charters. And we have other groups that already gave their support and to be really important and useful if this group looked into the charter with priority as well. Thank you.

Man: Thank you for that motivation.

              I've just been pointed out to me that when we look at the motion as it is on the screen now it seems to say - - it's got a few bullet points and then it goes into three and four, which seems to be missing a one and two.

Woman: I will have to look at what I sent and fix it – or I guess I'll have to offer a friendly amendment to my own motion.

Man: All right, it looks like it needs a bit of – let's just call it a glitch, shall we? A minor stumble on the pathway to operational excellence.

Woman: I don't know what that means.

Man: All right. So it appears that we've dealt with these motions relatively quickly – going, going, gone on this one. Does anyone else want to make any points here?

All right, so that brings us neatly up to the coffee break more or less on time, somewhat surprisingly. I think we've covered everything we needed to in terms of preparation for our meetings with GAC ccNSO board and with (unintelligible). So we're in pretty good shape.

I hope we don't get crucified in our GAC meeting, but we'll have to manage that as best we can.

All right, let's take a break and come back promptly around 4:30. Thanks.