Etuate Cocker - ecoc005@auckland.ac.nz

HOW SUSTAINABLE ARE VOIP AND OTHER REAL-TIME PROTOCOLS?

Ulrich Speidel, 'Etuate Cocker, Firas Ghazzi, Nevil Brownlee Department of Computer Science, The University of Auckland

AGENDA

- × VoIP
- × Packet journeys
- Implications of network growth and technological progress
- × Why worry?
- The beacon network
- × Initial observations
- × Packet train arrival quality: Jitters and entropy
- × TCP trends
- × Conclusions

VOICE OVER IP AND OTHER REAL-TIME PROTOCOLS



Transmitter...

- ...encodes analog voice signal digitally
- ...chops encoded byte stream into a packet train

Packets...

- ...travel via Internet to receiver
-experience individual delays
- ...may travel via more than one path and may get re-ordered

Receiver...

• ...buffers packets to establish constant-rate data flow to decoder

Decoder and D/A...

• recover analog signal and replay it

A PACKET'S JOURNEY ACROSS THE INTERNET

- Transmitted on time with sub-millisecond precision
- × Stuck in queues at routers. Sometimes dropped rather rudely.
- Separated from predecessor and successor packets of the same flow at load balancing routers
- Taken for a ride: cheapest path over shortest/fastest path
- Made to wait in the receiver's buffer until the rest of the crowd turns up
- If anything goes wrong, it's nobody's fault. Everyone's put in their best effort

PACIFIC RIM SUBMARINE CABLES



PACIFIC RIM SUBMARINE CABLES



LOAD BALANCING ROUTERS

× Load balancing routers send packets to the same destination across different links



- * If packets from the same stream are load balanced, it causes them to take different paths and experience different latencies
- In some cases, packets may overtake each other (out-of-order arrivals)
 - + requires latency differences of ~20 ms at usual VoIP packet rates (50/s), which are (still) rare
 - + likelihood increases with packet rate

PROGRESS: BLESSING OR CURSE?

- Infrastructure growth follows demand typically 30-40% p.a. traffic growth on the Internet
- × More physical links
 - + In principle shorter physical paths, but...
 - + more choice in upstream connectivity, so...
 - + lower likelihood of shortest path being used!
 - + Higher risk of zig-zag routing
 - More routers = more queues (effect potentially partially masked by tunneling)
- Higher bandwidths (WDM) and faster / routers (optical, parallel queues)
 - + But: Parallel queues increase the risk of out-of-order arrivals

WHY IS REAL-TIME TRAFFIC SUCH AS VOIP SO IMPORTANT?

- Contact centre industry
 - + Economy of calls
 - + Significant up front investment
 - NZ's hidden cottage industry
- Remote surgery and manipulation
 - + Patient safety
 - + Duration (cost) of operation
 - + Significant up-front investment
- Financial industry
 - Real-time trading
- Ability to stay in touch with friends, family, colleagues, business partners over distance
 - Ability to recruit, retain, do business, and cooperate





http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/File:Laproscopic_Surgery_Robot.jpg http://www.flickr.com/photos/travel_aficionado/2396819536/ http://flickr.com/photos/94833286@N00/1573456981

WHAT WOULD A WORLD WITHOUT REAL-TIME INTERNET LOOK LIKE?

- E-mail, web, and downloads would still work (and become faster, probably)
- × More push-to-talk like communication, not really real-time
- × More voice and video messages
- * Streaming audio and video would still work (with potentially significant amounts of buffering delay)
- Remote regions in Internet topology would probably drop off first. E.g., Pacific Islands, Africa, South America
- × Serious digital divide between remote regions and regions closer to the core
- Not entirely a function of poverty!

INTRODUCING... IIBEX



- ...the International Internet Beacon Experiment
- A "beacon" is an Internet-connected computer able to exchange synthesized traffic in a highly controlled manner with other beacons
- Currently: 30 beacons in Canada, Cook Islands, Fiji, Germany, Japan, Kiribati, Macau, Malaysia, New Zealand, Solomon Islands, South Africa, Switzerland, Tonga, Tuvalu, and the United States
- Further beacons are under construction
- Log data backhaul to Auckland around half a GB per day

THE BEACON NETWORK







TYPICAL BEACON UDP EXPERIMENTS

- **×** Beacon exchanges 10,000 UDP packets of 110 bytes with a partner beacon
- Packets transmitted every 20 ms
- Packets are timestamped and serial-numbered
- * At receiving end, packets are logged with arrival time stamp, serial number, arrival sequence number, and TTL observed
- × This experiment typically runs 3 times a day between selected beacon pairs
- Used to derive packet loss rates, out-of-order-arrivals, clock drift, jitter, arrival time entropies and (for some beacons) MOS estimates (mean opinion score)



WHY HAVE BEACONS ALL OVER THE WORLD

- × Want long-term global trend, not just local effects
- Want a "developed world" baseline but also see what it is like in remote places on the fringe – many of our beacons run in the Pacific for that reason (need I mention Africa?)
- Long paths generally are of interest both in terms of latency and number of hops
- A lot of international traffic passes through "hub regions" (North America, Europe, SE Asia). What effect do these regions have on traffic that passes through them?
- Last but not least: We're looking for input from our partners (and their own experiments)!

INITIAL OBSERVATIONS: PACKET LOSS



INITIAL OBSERVATIONS: LOSS VS OUT-OF-ORDER



PACKET TRAIN QUALITY

- Subjective approaches, e.g., Mean Opinion Score (MOS) reliably replicable only with very large sample
- × Objective approaches, e.g., jitter measurements.
- But: jitter can be random (=problem) or predictable (=less of a problem)
- How can we tell the difference?

ENTROPY HOW-TO

- × Map inter-arrival times of successive UDP packets to symbol bins, e.g.:
 - + t < 17 ms: "A"
 - + 17 ms < t < 19 ms: "B"
 - + 19 ms < t < 21 ms: "C"
 - ...

X

- Form string from these symbols: "CCCBDCACF..."
- Determine entropy rate for string (e.g., as Lempel-Ziv compression ratio or Tentropy)
- "Perfect" string will be "CCCCCCC..." highly compressible, low entropy
- Chaotic arrivals generate many new pattern combinations: harder to compress, higher entropy

ENTROPY VS. JITTER



Etuate Cocker - ecoc005@auckland.ac.nz

ENTROPY VS. JITTER



WHERE IS ENTROPY INTRODUCED?



CHANGING TTLS – PROOF OF PATH INSTABILITY



TCP IN STREAMING APPLICATIONS



Goal: Avoid buffer underruns with minimum buffer period / size

TCP MINIMUM BUFFER TIME TRENDS?



Etuate Cocker - ecoc005@auckland.ac.nz

THERE'S GOOD NEWS FOR ... TONGA



CONCLUSIONS

- Real-time traffic and best-effort protocols are uneasy companions
- Lots of experiments with synthesized traffic modelled on real-life applications can get us an idea of how sustainable real-time protocols really are
- Our beacons already see interesting effects, often strongly path-specific and sometimes not easily explained – need to observe for much longer
- × Big data: ~0.5 GB of uncompressed beacon log files / day
- × A lot of work remains to be done!
- × Ask us if you're interested in hosting a beacon
- https://iibex.auckland.ac.nz

Etuate Cocker - ecoc005@auckland.ac.nz

Questions?

https://iibex.auckland.ac.nz

P

2