
SINGAPORE - 11 February, 2015 – 15:45-17:15 SGT
ICANN – Singapore, Singapore

ccNSO Council Meeting Singapore

Attendees:

AF

Vika Mpisane, .za
Abibu Ntahigiye, .tz

AP

Keith Davidson, .nz
Hiro Hotta, .jp
Young-Eum Lee, .kr

EU

Lesley Cowley (telephone)
Nigel Roberts, .gg & .je
Katrina Sataki, .lv

LAC

Demi Getschko, .br (telephone)
Margarita Valdes, .cl (telephone)

NA

Becky Burr, .us
Byron Holland, .ca
Dotty Sparks de Blanc, .vi (telephone)

NomCom

Ching Chiao
Jordi Iparraguirre
Celia Lerman-Friedman (telephone)

Observers/Liaisons

Maureen Hilyard, ALAC Observer to the ccNSO
Ron Sherwood, ccNSO Observer to the ALAC

Regional Organisations

Peter Van Roste, CENTR

ICANN Staff

Bart Boswinkel
Kristina Nordström
Gabiella Schitteck

Note: The following is the output resulting from transcribing an audio file into a word/text document. Although the transcription is largely accurate, in some cases may be incomplete or inaccurate due to inaudible passages and grammatical corrections. It is posted as an aid to the original audio file, but should not be treated as an authoritative record.

Good afternoon, everyone. Welcome to the ICANN 52 ccNSO council meeting. Gabi, could I ask you to list apologies and ensure that we have quorum?

Gabriella Schittek: We just have one apology and that's from Victor Abbott. But we do have quorum although it might look empty because we have several people on the phone. Right now we have Lesley Cowley, Margarita Valdes, Dotty Sparks de Blanc, and Celia on the phone and we're expecting Demi Getschko to join us as well.

Demi Getschko: I am on the phone now.

Byron Holland: Welcome. And thank you to all those on the phone who are in very distant time zones. Your participation is much appreciated. Just looking at the agenda, item number two is minutes and actions. Does anybody have any questions or issues with the minutes? And if I could ask Kristina to read the actions?

Kristina Nordström: Yes. We have two outstanding actions. The first one is action 102-04, the secretariat will provide the ccTLD community with an overview of the named coalition issue in order to prepare for discussions with ICANN. That one is still pending. And then we have action 102-05, the chair is to ask the SOP working group to provide a list of the selection of the top five enterprise wide risks to ICANN prior to 5 February 2015. And that was overtaken by time. All of the other actions are completed.

Byron Holland: Thank you. Item number three is an update on the CCWG IG and I believe Bart was going to provide that update for us?

Bart Boswinkel: The CCWG IG met last Wednesday and they will most likely within the coming short time request the council not to objective on an interpretation of the term observers in that charter. Otherwise they have to go through a whole chartering amending exercise. What they want is that the term observers and powers will be interpreted in the same fashion as in the two newly created CCWG, so the stewardship and accountability. That means that it's open for broader participation but the core is appointed by the SOs and ACs. That's the update.

Byron Holland: Thank you. Any questions for Bart? Or comments? Okay. Seeing none, there was essentially supposed to be similar updates for the IANA stewardship transition process and the accountability process but I would suggest that we have probably had all the updates we can tolerate at this point. Okay. Therefore, moving on to item number four,



we have a membership application from the British Virgin Islands and I would ask Nigel to speak to that.

Nigel Roberts: Thank you, Chair. As usual, when we have an application of this nature certain checks are made to see if it fulfills the requirements and I'm happy to say on this occasion and I commend the application to council for a decision.

Byron Holland: Good. Thank you. We do have a resolution here. Are there any comments or questions before the resolution? Can somebody make a motion for the resolution. Becky? Seconded by Jordi. Since we have people on the phone and to make sure it's there for the transcript I'll read the resolution into the transcript.

The ccNSO council approves the application of the ccTLD manager of .VG and welcome telecommunications, regulatory commission of the Virgin Islands and its representative Olivier Fries as 153rd member of the ccNSO. Can we take a vote on that please? All in favor? Unanimous? That's -- we've moved to a new card system here. If any of you are wondering, that's why Keith is on the other side so he can give us a good indication of the vote since it's very difficult to see a straight line table like this.

Kristina Nordström: Byron? I think we should also ask those on the call.

Byron Holland: Yes. I stand corrected. It's unanimous in the room. Are there any objections? I'll do this better on the next one. My apologies. Are there any objections on the phone? Are there any abstentions from those on the phone? Hearing none, we will once again proclaim this unanimous. Thank you.

Nigel Roberts: Byron, if I could just add, I'll log onto the Adobe Connect. If the remote participants are in the Adobe Connect room they can vote by green tick and I can count those as well.



Byron Holland: Good idea. Thank you. The ccNSO. Continuous improvement. Okay. Item number five, appointments to the SOP working group. We do have a new volunteer for the SOP working group. That's the appointment of Andreas Musilak. I hope I'm fairly close to the pronunciation there, from .DE, as a member of the SOP working group. Andreas approached the current interim chair and asked to be part of the SOP. The currently interim chair has nominate Andreas to become a new member of the SOP. Any comment? Online? We have a draft resolution? Can I get somebody to make a motion for the resolution? Young-Eum. Seconded by Katrina. Thank you. The draft resolution, I will read it for the record. The ccNSO counsel appoints Andreas Musilak from .DE as a member of the ccNSO strategic and operational planning working group. Could I take a vote on that, please? All in favor? Is there anybody online, I'm going to ask it in the reverse just to be clear -- those online, is there anybody opposed? No? Anybody abstaining? I think we can consider that unanimous then. Thank you. Secretariat has requested to inform the nominee and update the SOP WG web page accordingly.

Nigel Roberts: There was no vote from Lesley or Margarita so it wasn't unanimous. Margarita says I agree.

Byron Holland: Okay. Thank you. We're going to get this right by the last one. Okay. Typically for phone conferences because we can't see them we ask it in the negative whereas here we're doing a bit of a hybrid. So, we would ask those on the phone, since we can't see you, I'm going to ask you the question in the negative.

Item number 5.2, carrying on, with the appointment of Giovanni Seppia, the current interim chair, to become the permanent chair of the SOP WG. Giovanni has been the acting interim chair for a number of months now and put his name forward to be the permanent chair which I'm quite happy to say that we have received that request from Giovanni. We have a draft resolution. Are there any comments or questions on this? No? Okay. We have a draft resolution which reads as follows. The ccNSO council appoints Giovanni Seppia from .EU as chair of the ccNSO SOP WG and request him to regularly attend the ccNSO counsel meeting specifically for the related part on the agenda and to liaise between the SOP WG and the council. The secretariat is requested to inform the SOP WG membership of Giovanni's appointment and secretariat is also requested to ensure Giovanni's invited in a timely manner. Can I get a motion on that, please? Abibu and Hiro. Thank you. We'll take a vote on that. So, once again, here in the



room I'm going to ask it in the affirmative. Online because we can't see you, I'm going to ask it in the negative. In the room, all in favor? Thank you. Online, are there any objections? Any abstentions? Hearing none, it was a unanimous decision. Thank you. Third time's the charm.

Agenda item number six, as I think the council will recall, ICANN has recently instituted an Ethos Award and ICANN staff has asked the ccNSO council to appoint two members to the panel that determines the Ethos Award winners. We have done it previously but it was the first one and was a bit of an ad hoc request. ICANN has asked that we put two members on the panel for the 2015 multi-stakeholder Ethos Award to determine the best candidates for the reward for this year which is scheduled to be presented at the June meeting in Buenos Aires. We made a call for nomination and Young-Eum and Celia Lerman-Friedman both put their names forward. And we have a draft resolution that would appoint them. I'm going to ask for a motion for that. Becky? Second her? Nigel? Thank you. The draft resolution is as follows. The ccNSO council appoints Young-Eum Lee, .KR, and Celia Lerman-Friedman as ccNSO appointment members of the Ethos panel and the secretariat is requested to inform the nominees and relevant ICANN staff accordingly. Before we take a vote on that are there any questions, comments? Young-Eum?

Young-Eum Lee: Thanks, Byron. Just as a clerical matter, I think myself and Celia should be excluded from the vote because of conflict of interest. Thank you.

Byron Holland: Yes. Noted. Any other questions or comments. So, provide the opportunity for abstentions. I'd like to take a vote on that first in the room. All in favor? Any abstentions? Young-Eum? Any abstentions? Okay. Thank you. Online, again I'll ask it in the negative, any objections? Any abstentions? Celia, thank you. Hearing no others, consider that resolution passed with two abstentions. Thank you.

Agenda item number seven, final report of the FOI. This has certainly been a long time in the making. The framework of interpretation working group as I think we know was established in early 2011 and has done very significant work, certainly in my time here, of all the working groups. It has done the yeoman's work on a complicated and challenging task. It's held a considerable number of discussions in council with the entire



membership outreach consultations, reporting to the GAC, and have done heavy, heavy lifting on a very challenging subject. I just want to say certainly from the chair's perspective I truly appreciate the work that the active members of this working group have done. It is remarkable. Hopefully we're at a point where we can start to give you part of your life back.

With that said, there are a couple of resolutions, three in fact, and I am aware that there may be some friendly amendments here, but let's go through the draft resolutions to begin with. 7.1, support the framework of interpretation. The ccNSO council expresses its final support for the framework of interpretation to provide more accurate and detailed analysis of the existing policies for the delegation transfer and revocation of country code top level domains. Could I get a motion on this? I think -- I suspect it's only fair that members of said committee get to put that motion forward. Nigel, first, Keith, second. And Becky's going to jump in on this two. Okay, we'll take a third on this. Are there any -- before the vote, any questions? Comments? Young-Eum?

Young-Eum Lee:

I'd just like to make the note that maybe we should do something more to work more cooperatively with the GAC because I know for one that the FOI working group in the ccNSO has worked for a long period of time, they've put of a lot of effort, and as you said, we've tried to communicate the contents to the GAC as much as possible but at the relatively last moment there was some -- a movement in the GAC that got us a bit worried. Something similar I see is happening in the use of countries and territories names group where we have invited the GAC members to our cross community working group and the feeling in the room was the GAC members were not very willing to fully cooperate with the cross community working group. I think we -- that's an area that we still need to keep working on. Thank you.

Byron Holland:

Thanks, Young-Eum. Any other comments or questions on the resolution at hand? Okay. Hearing none we'll put that to a vote. Again, those in the room, all in favor? Those online, any object? Any abstentions? Consider that unanimously carried. Thank you very much. Beer is on me when we leave this room, for those on the FOI, not everybody.

Okay. Item 7.2 which is a submission of the framework. Let me back up for a second with some preamble. We will be submitting the work of the FOI to several entities,



hence we have a couple of resolutions here that provide guidance in terms of which entities we want to make sure the council formally sends the work of the FOI to. That's why we have the two separate resolutions here. So, first, I will read the resolution, the resolution is the submission of the framework of interpretation and recommendations to the CWG stewardship and CCWG accountability. The ccNSO will provide the framework of interpretation as an input to both the CWG IANA and the CCWG accountability working groups with the request the framework and relevant recommendations from the report being incorporated into their work, including into the service level agreement that will be in the proposal for the IANA transition plan. Could I get a motion for that, please? Katrina and whoever the last hand is. Vika. Thank you. Any questions or comments on this? Okay. Hearing none, in the room, ask for a vote. Those in favor? That's unanimous in the room. Online, any objections? Abstentions? Okay. We'll consider 7.2 carried. Thank you.

Agenda item 7.3 is another resolution.

Speaker: Just two points but consider please as editorial changes, it states, the resolution state the ccNSO council will provide the framework and not the ccNSO as such and the second one, its not the CCWG, CWG IANA, but it's the CWG stewardship that is on the receiving end.

Byron Holland: Yes. Thank you for the typo clarifications. Thank you. Dully noted for the record. On to 7.3, again another resolution about where the framework should go and I will read this into the record. Submission of the framework of interpretation and recommendations to the ICANN board of directors. The ccNSO council empowers its chair and Keith Davidson, former chair of the FOI to submit the final report of the FOI WG to the ICANN board of directors. If they believe that the term of the GAC --

Keith Davidson: Byron, there was some bashing of the wording and that's the original wording. There's been a number of friendly amendments introduced by Nigel at the last minute. I'm not sure how we should deal with that.



Byron Holland: The process that I had intended to follow was to -- since this was the original resolution that had been passed to the council, to read it in and then take amendments.

Keith Davidson: Okay. And there's a friendly amendment that's being suggest by Lesley online as well which I'll read in after Nigel speaks.

Byron Holland: Okay. Let me read the original in because it is what everybody received. And then we can take some friendly amendments or otherwise after that. Carrying on from the second sentence, the ccNSO council empowers its chair and Keith Davidson, former chair of the FOI, to submit the final report of the FOI WG to the ICANN board of directors. If they believe that the terms of the GAC communiqué allows submission of the final report. If so, the ccNSO council also recommends that ICANN adopt the framework of interpretation and utilize this documentation as specific guidance of the applicable policies. To that end, the ccNSO suggests that previous documentation including the GAC principles 2000, ICANN's ICP one and news memo one should be archived and no longer referenced by ICANN or IANA. If submitted, the ccNSO council urges ICANN IANA to implement the framework in the near future and the council stands ready to assist IANA staff with that work. So, as a process, I'd like somebody to make that motion and then we'll take questions and comments. Vika, first, Young-Eum, second. Any questions or comments.

Ching Chiao: Thank you, Byron. This is Ching. Just as a procedural question on this particular clause on the third line, if they believe the terms of the GAC communiqué allow submission of the final report. Do I interpret that correctly? Is that we expect this to be listed in the communiqué? And then if not, what should we do?

Byron Holland: I'm going to ask Nigel to speak to that question.

Nigel Roberts: Actually it's a very good question and it's one of the things I've addressed in the slightly revised wording which if I remember correctly without quoting the exact wording, if compatible with, which covers a multitude of possibilities, including things we might expect and things we might not expect.



Byron Holland: Essentially we have a bit of a timing challenge in that we have a meeting and a resolution to deal with right now and the GAC communiqué will come out at some point in the future. So, you rightly notice there is some conditionality within this resolution. But that's what it's there for. Young-Eum?

Young-Eum Lee: I'd just like to make a comment and express my gratitude to the FOI working group for their hard work and their result and would like to note that the longstanding controversial ICP one for example can now be archived. I think this will mark a tremendously significant landmark for the CCs and firmly establishes RFC5091 as the authoritative document for the CCs. Thank you.

Byron Holland: Well said. Thank you. Any other comments, questions? Friendly amendments? Or others?

Nigel Roberts: Thank you, Byron. You're looking at me. What I propose to do, without taking too much time, is to read the proposed revised resolution. I've done a little bit of work with Becky and Byron's seen this. It's principally stylistic. There are one or two slight language changes where I think we should be slightly more assertive and use the word submit rather than suggest and there's some extra words indicating regarding our relationship to the GAC principles. I thought the original resolutions could easily have been misinterpreted and contrary to our intention it might be read by some that we were trying to abolish the GAC principles altogether or something like that instead of which everybody in this room knows we are referring to the obsoleted and superseded 2000 version of the GAC principles which the GAC themselves replaced in 2005. So, that's the intent of the extra wording between the parenthesis. This was added to the council lists about five minutes before the meeting started. You should perhaps have it in front of you but with the chair's indulgence and permission, I'll read the proposed revised version.

Byron Holland: Yes. I just want to offer some color on that. I did review the friendly amendment. I know that the members of the FOI who are on the council have taken a look at it. Generally speaking I would not be inclined to do resolution rewriting on the fly. However, in this



case, because of the importance of it and that we are talking about finalizing resolutions regarding the FOI and that it was primarily clarification related edits that keep certainly the spirit and intent of the resolution that make it crisper and clearer and I'm very much in favor of letting you go forward with the friendly amendment. Thanks.

Nigel Roberts:

Thank you, chair. The ccNSO council empowers its chair and Keith Davidson, former chair of the FOI working group to submit the final report of the FOI working group to the ICANN board of directors if they consider the terms of the GAC communiqué are compatible with such submissions. CcNSO council also recommends that ICANN adopt the framework of interpretation and adopt the document as specific guidance on the interpretation of the existing applicable policies. With that in mind, the ccNSO council I suspect, taking Bart's comment there, submits that certain previous documents including GAC principles 2000 which the GAC superseded in 2005, ICANN's ICP one, and news memo one should be archived and considered no longer used by ICANN or IANA. CcNSO council urges ICANN IANA to apply the framework in the near future and the council stands ready to assist IANA staff with that work. And didn't we have something about asking them to respond to us? Have I deleted that by accident? There's no intention to delete that part.

Byron Holland:

Are there any questions or comments on this?

Keith Davidson:

Just as per I think the friendly amendments suggested by Lesley that instead of the word submit, recommends, so the ccNSO council empowers its chair and Keith Davidson, former chair of the FOI, to recommend the final report of the FOI to the ICANN board of directors. That's slightly more assertive and I'm quite happy since someone else has suggested it to endorse that strengthening of the wording.

Byron Holland:

Are there any other -- was that Lesley? I was just going to ask for any other counsel or comments or questions right now.



-
- Lesley Cowley: Thanks, Byron. Actually Keith, my submit was in relation to the submit further on down where we were submitting about the previous documentation. Actually, both I think could be strengthened by using recommend.
- Keith Davidson: My apologies.
- Byron Holland: Duly noted.
- Lesley Cowley: No worries. I also had just one more point. If on the if compatible with the GAC communiqué, what are we going to do if it's not compatible with the GAC communiqué?
- Byron Holland: Presumably if we run into a situation where the GAC is adamantly against it, we won't be submitting it to the board. Keith? Did you have a comment?
- Keith Davidson: Yes. I think we need to cross that bridge when we come to it. Let's not try and second guess every scenario.
- Byron Holland: It's our understanding, while I wouldn't claim to truly understand the beast that is the GAC, it is our understanding that we should expect a reasonable outcome here. But Keith said it right, we'll have to cross that bridge when we come to it. Any other counselor comments right now? We have a question from the floor? Or a comment from the floor?
- Speaker: We have always referred in the working group to IANA as a function and now we're referring to it as an entity which it is not. Maybe you must review that in the language?
- Byron Holland: Point taken. Can we refine it to address Eberhart's point? This is an example of why resolution redrafting on the fly is a tricky business.



Keith Davidson: I think it would only be the final sentence. Correct me if I'm wrong, Eberhart. Nigel's already fixed it.

Nigel Roberts: I think we can finesse this very easily. We only use the word IANA twice. The second one says IANA staff. The second one can say IANA staff as well. I see general agreement.

Byron Holland: Are there any objections to that suggestion? Because I think that will address the reasonable comment from the floor. Okay. Let's go forward with that. Yes. So, you're jumping the gun, Bart. So, since I think we have agreement on all the proposed edits, I'd like to ask Bart in this case to read the final or the current final proposed version.

Bart Boswinkel: The ccNSO council empowers its chair and Keith Davidson, former chair of the FOI working group to recommend the final report of the FOI to the ICANN board of directors if they consider that the terms of the GAC communiqué are compatible with such. And that should be --

Nigel Roberts: Recommendation.

Bart Boswinkel: The ccNSO council also recommends that ICANN adopt the framework of interpretation and adopt the documents -- the document as the specific guidance on the interpretation of the existing applicable policies. With that in mind, the ccNSO submits --

Keith Davidson: The ccNSO council.

Bart Boswinkel: Recommends that certain previous documents including the GAC principles 2000 which the GAC has superseded in 2005, ICANN's ICP one and news memo one should be



archived and considered no longer used by ICANN or IANA staff. Because there is IANA again. The ccNSO council urges ICANN-IANA staff to apply the framework in the near future and the council stands ready to assist IANA staff with that work.

Byron Holland: Thank you. Questions? Keith, you have your hand up?

Keith Davidson: I think that very last part of the sentence isn't that helpful. I think it needs to say ICANN and IANA staff rather than slash because it's not instructing ICANN and the board to follow. I hear the friendly amendment ICANN and IANA staff.

Byron Holland: With that I'd like to put this resolution to a vote. Those in the room, all in favor? Thank you. Those online, I will ask it in the negative. Are there any objections or abstentions?

Ching Chiao: This is Ching. I'm a noncom appointee here and I'm also very new here and interacting with this extreme case, this procedure, I was told it was not commonly used. So, for that, I'd like to make sure that in that context, I'd like to support this motion but in terms of the voting I would like to abstain. Thank you.

Byron Holland: Thank you. That's recognized. We have one abstention. It's been recorded. The resolution was passed with one abstention. Thank you. Well done, FOI. Jordi?

Jordi Iparraguirre: This is Jordi. Just an observation, it's not the first time we have to amend text as we go. If it was possibly a thing where we could all see what was written, so particularly for those for whom English is not the first language, it's not easy to really follow all those changes you are introducing. That would be really helpful. Thank you.

Byron Holland: Duly noted. I would tend to agree and that's why I typically don't like doing resolution writing in the committee of the whole on the fly but special exception here. But very fair comment and duly noted. With that, we'll move on to item number 8, council updates. I'll start with the chair update. Certainly you've all seen what I've been up to over the



last couple of days. I think the only thing that would bear mention beyond what everybody's already participated in is on Friday before any ICANN meeting there was an SOAC chairs and senior ICANN staff meeting which is a standard and regular occurrence and happened again this past Friday. The focus of the conversations there were really around how to improve the coordination and the process of activities between the respective SO and ACs and that included how to do prioritization on any activities that may be common activities also how to enable the community to better find resources, documentation, do research, et cetera, particularly vis-à-vis the ICANN website which many would consider difficult to work with in terms of finding documentation as well as trying to think through how to have better engagement and ongoing engagement for those who have tried to permeate the ICANN community and have found themselves at a loss as to how to really engage. So, that was really the focus of the SOAC conversation somewhat internal and process driven about finding ways to make things work better internally. And there was a public session on it where it was spoken about in more detail. Thus concludes my report. Do the vice chairs have anything they would like to report? Young-Eum?

Young-Eum Lee: Thanks, Byron. This is Young-Eum. I heard that it was mentioned during the ALEC and in the board meeting that the SOs and ACs and the chairs had a meeting and that it was announced they were going to have monthly meetings with the SOAC chairs during the ALEC board meeting. Can you inform us of that? Thank you.

Byron Holland: Yes. I will going forward. Katrina?

Katrina Sataki: Not in my capacity as a vice chair but as a chair on the program working group I would like to thank the group and secretariat, especially Gabby and the observers as well for putting up agenda feedback surveys and everything. This is very good teamwork. And I also do the scrolling of the documents.

Byron Holland: Thank you. Keith?



Keith Davidson: The last council call I attended a teleconference call for the FOI with the GAC along with Becky and others from the FOI group which was a very productive meeting and resolved many of the issues that hopefully have led to a positive or not negative outcome here. And other semi-ICANN related activities, I attended the IANA transition group meetings Friday and Saturday just immediately prior to ICANN and that was moderately productive. But the names proposal being missing at the deadline was a cause of some concern to that group. And other than that, outside of ICANN I have ISOC board meetings this week and it's been an interesting time in ISOC as well with nominations for my replacement on the board coming up. That's it for me. Thank you.

Byron Holland: Thank you, Keith. Are there any councilor updates? Any online? Hearing none, we'll move on. Regional organization updates I think we heard this afternoon. Are there any staff updates? Hiro?

Hiro Hotta: Thank you, chair. I'd like to give an update on behalf of jp TLD, as many of you know jp TLD has just appointed a new GM. Jp TLD, we appointed him as a liaison to the ccNSO council and we hope the council will accept him as a liaison for the next ccNSO meeting which may be conducted online. Is there a formal procedure to appoint him?

Byron Holland: To appoint him as a liaison on the regional organization's behalf?

Speaker: I know we have a guideline on appointments. Let me check and get back to you to ensure that we take the correct steps so he can attend the next council call and if necessarily I would suggest an action to the council online. Just one question. When will he be appointed? Or has he been appointed?

Hiro Hotta: 13th of this month.

Speaker: That's in two days.



Byron Holland: We'll take that as an action item. Thank you. We'll move on to item number nine, liaison updates. Written updates have been provided. Bart?

Bart Boswinkel: Normally you'd see a working group update here as well. It's not included because we have a lot of updates during this week of several working groups. So, because especially number seven was that long I thought we'd not go through it at this time.

Byron Holland: Thank you. Item number 10 is ccNSO council rules and responsibilities. As you all recall, on Sunday afternoon for four hours we had our annual council workshop where the council rules and responsibilities were discussed among other subjects and a draft of the revised rules and responsibilities was effectively agreed upon. Any of you who were not there have a whole lot more work ahead of you. No, that's not true. But we did revise and update the standard template that we've worked with before in terms of rules and responsibilities and we have a resolution related to that. I'll read the draft resolution and then we'll take questions. The draft resolution of the ccNSO council adopts the assignment of tasks as proposed in the assignments of roles and responsibilities, February 2015. Can I get a motion on that? Becky. Nigel. Thank you. Are there any questions or comments on this? It's relatively administrative and consistent with previous years. Okay. We'll put it to a vote.

Nigel Roberts: Sorry, Byron. Does it contain me still as the new admission?

Byron Holland: Yes.

Nigel Roberts: I've had a late volunteer and I'm happy to relinquish that in favor of Jordi if he confirms now that's okay. He's nodding.

Byron Holland: Jordi? You want to -- you're okay with that?

Jordi Iparraguirre: Yes. I propose myself. Thank you.



Byron Holland: Alright. We will revise the roles and responsibilities accordingly. With that minor revision we'll take a vote. All in favor? Here in the room, unanimous. Thank you. Those online, any opposed? Any abstentions? Seeing or hearing none, unanimous. Thank you. Moving on to item number 11, the chair and vice chair elections at this point, the first one on the docket will be the chair election and thus I will turn the meeting over to the senior vice chair, Keith.

Keith Davidson: Thank you. This is the election for the chair of the ccNSO council. Can I call for nominations? Vika?

Vika Mpisane: I nominate Byron.

Keith Davidson: Byron Holland?

Vika Mpisane: Byron Holland, yes.

Keith Davidson: Byron Holland is nominated. Is there a second? Young-Eum and several others. Any further nominations? If not, I'll declare that nominations be closed and Byron be elected unanimously.

Byron Holland: Thank you. And I'm willing to accept.

Keith Davidson: We weren't going to give you an opportunity to reject it. I'll now hand it back to you, thank you, Byron.

Byron Holland: Thank you, Mr. Vice Chair for doing that and thank you everybody for that vote of endorsement. I certainly appreciate it and hopefully everybody recognizes I take the



responsibility very seriously and I very much appreciate the support I get both in doing the work and the support right here in the context of the vote.

That said, I do want to make it known that this will be my third year as chair and at this time next year I will likely not stand for election again for a fourth time. So, I just want to make sure that is clear. I very much welcome the challenge for the additional year but this will be the last year that I will be standing for election and serving in this capacity though I'm sure I'll find other ways to serve as well. Anyway, thank you very much.

With that, we'll move on to the vice chair elections and expressions of interest. So, first we will have the vice chair elections for the seat that Katrina currently occupies and I'd like to call for nominations. Young-Eum?

Young-Eum Lee: Thanks, Byron. I would like to renominate Katrina.

Byron Holland: Thank you. Can I get a second? Becky, thank you. Are there any other nominations? Seeing, hearing none, we'll call the nominations period closed and declare Katrina the unanimous winner. Congratulations yet again.

Katrina Sasaki: Thank you very much. Thank you.

Byron Holland: Are you willing to serve?

Katrina Sasaki: Too late, isn't it?

Byron Holland: Too late, exactly. And thank you very much for the work you have done thus far. Moving on to the vice chair election for the seat that Keith currently occupies, could I call for any nominations? Katrina?



Katrina Sasaki: Thank you. I would like to renominate Keith Davidson.

Byron Holland: Thank you. And I have Nigel as a second. Are there any other nominations? Seeing or hearing none I will call the nominations period closed. And declare you the unanimous winner. You're it. Congratulations. Do you accept? Too late.

Keith Davidson: Gee, thanks. Just, I think I have another year to run or slightly more in terms of my council appointment, so I won't be seeking reelection at that time. Finishing the framework has been an important thing for me. The IANA transition is the other important thing for me. If that does accelerate on a timeline you may expect an early resignation from me as well. So, I may not last the entire term but in the interim I will be as industrious and hardworking as ever.

Byron Holland: Thank you. So, we'll work you double hard in the meantime then. Okay, moving on to item number 12 which is simply a list of the upcoming meetings, duly noted. Item number 13. Any other business? Is there anything that any councilor would like to bring to the council's attention? Kristina?

Kristina Nordström: Hello. Patrick Miles just noted that today on the GNSO council they expressed interest in an online ccNSO GNSO meeting since the two councils couldn't get together at this meeting.

Byron Holland: Thank you. Just for the -- the council will recall due to scheduling conflicts we were not able to have our regular meeting with the GNSO. This is very much supposed to be a one time situation and we will recommence our regular meetings with them at future ICANN meetings. In the meantime I did provide a brief written report of the highlights of what the ccNSO has been focused on over the couple of days. Keith?



Keith Davidson: Just a small item of possible consideration too. With our upcoming council calls we've recently been receiving the reports from the CWG and CCWG on the IANA transition. Just in discussion with Mike Silber today there was a suggestion that maybe we invite the two ccNSO board members to that part of the council meeting as well so there's a reasonably good understanding of where the ccNSO is on those specific issues. So, whether that's something we need to resolve now, just while it's front of mind, I thought I'd table it and follow up in due course.

Byron Holland: I'm going to suggest that given the hour we take that to the list. So, it's a reasonable proposition but let's take it to the list in the coming week or weeks. Yes? Peter?

Peter Van Roste: Sorry, I didn't catch that. Was that as observers or participants?

Keith Davidson: As observers.

Byron Holland: And we have not made any determination. It's been raised and we'll take it to the council list to have a conversation on it in the coming weeks. Is there any other business? Seeing none, we'll move on to item number 14, some welcomes and thank yous. The ccNSO would like to welcome and congratulate the following councilors with their reelection to the council for the upcoming three years. From the African region, Vika. Welcome back. Asia-Pacific, Young. European Region, Katrina. Latin America and Caribbean region, Margarita. And the North American region, myself. Welcome back to all of you.

Very importantly, last night I thought we had a fantastic ccNSO cocktail party, it was a great venue and a fun evening. I think the restaurant had to essentially kick us out in the end which is always the sign of a good event and I'd like to thank the sponsors of the ccNSO cocktail party which were .pr, .sgnic, .eurid, and .nz, and .SIDN. So, thank you to our sponsors. And last but not least, very much a whole hearted thank you to our local sponsors, .sgnic. Thanks very much. And with that, I will adjourn the ccNSO meeting at ICANN 52. Thank you, everybody.



